


[return to updates](#)

The PLANCK Probe's **CBR Map**



by Miles Mathis

First published March 28, 2013

After decades of arguing about what these cosmic background radiation maps are really mapping, the issue has recently been decided. And it has not been decided in favor of the mainstream. Although all the press releases still lead with the claim that these projects are mapping the remnants of the Big Bang, and are looking far back in time and far off in space, we now see that isn't so. The resolution has now become so good we can clearly see the signatures of near space, and the idea that we are seeing a universal map is no longer tenable.

Although the resolution is extremely good on the newest maps from the European Space Agency, even here we get hyperbole. We are told, "It will be impossible to ever take better images of this radiation than those obtained from PLANCK." Don't these guys ever learn? A real scientist would never say something like that. The best they could say is something like, "Given what we know about mapping space, this appears to be the best obtainable image." But given that we don't know everything about mapping space, about imaging, and especially about photons, it is just foolish to say things like that. Do you really think we know so much about physics that we can say for sure that superintelligent aliens who have been working on this problem for thousands of centuries may not have found a way to do it better than we just did? If you think we know that much about physics, I suggest you read my full set of papers, especially the ones showing just how much of current physics is fudged. As you will see, they don't even know what to assign their new map to. Do you think it is possible they *don't* know that, but *do* know everything about imaging? These are the same sort of people who said the four minute mile would never be beaten.

But let's move on. According to [Alan Boyle at CosmicLog, NBC News, March 22, 2013](#),

The map traces subtle fluctuations in temperature that were imprinted on the deep sky when the cosmos was just 370,000 years old. Scientists say the imprint reflects ripples that arose as early as the first nonillionth of a second of the universe's existence. These ripples are thought to have given rise to today's vast cosmic web of galaxy clusters and dark matter.

You have to laugh. They have absolutely no evidence or indication of that, and the maps they are showing us are perfect evidence against it, but they say it anyway. These people are shameless. Not only shameless, but so deluded they don't know when to quit. If they still had any connection to reality at all, they would realize they need to hide these maps. Lose them. Tell us the dog ate them. Crash the satellites in the ocean and claim a malfunction. Then we could go back to the COBE maps—which had all the resolution of a cottonball in a heavy fog—and they could continue to wax rhapsodic about their old pet theories.

They should quickly misfile these maps in the Smithsonian vaults, because any highschool student can tell these aren't maps of the early universe, much less imprints of the first nonillionth of a second. The maps have the signatures of our own Solar System all over them. They have signatures of our own planet. Why would the first second of the universe have signatures of the Earth's own charge field?


But again, these physicists and astronomers are so loopy they even admit that in the interviews, while going ahead with the same old Big Bang public relations kit anyway. These guys need Karl Rove to come in and help them with this. He would tell them to deny everything. Say it is all a coincidence. A statistical anomaly. A computer glitch. “Those are *not* local signatures. Not to the best of my recollection. I was not briefed on that. Intelligence sources indicate no local signatures. We will come out with a white paper on that shortly.”

Some readers have said that I shouldn't insert levity into a science paper, but the mainstream is doing that themselves. I am just commenting on it. The chief spokesman for PLANCK, George Efstathiou of Cambridge,

said the Planck data also pointed to some 'strange features' in the cosmic microwave background that may point to new frontiers in physics, including an unexplained dip at one point of the power spectrum, and an unusual distribution of large-scale fluctuations that roughly followed the plane of the solar system. 'Why characteristics of the CMB should relate to our solar system is not understood. ... I was explicitly told not to say anything about God in this talk — which I've just violated,' Efstathiou said half-jokingly.

See, he just admitted it. But what is even weirder is the direction he tries to spin this. He has the nerve to imply that the CMB has local characteristics because the first nonillionth of a second really did contain the preprint of our own Solar System, including its plane and poles. This implies that we may expect to see physicists like [Steven Weinberg using the Anthropic Principle](#) to explain the PLANCK maps.

Yes, all sorts of mischief has long been afoot, and we can expect to see more of it. But while these colleagues of Mac McMurphy* debate one another on that and other fascinating topics, our highschool physics student will still be pointing to this map



saying, “Wouldn't it be much simpler to explain those 'strange features' as actual signatures of the Solar System?” In other words, if we have local signatures here, Occam's razor and all logic tells us they are probably caused by local features. We aren't mapping the first nonillionth of a second of the Big Bang, we are mapping the local charge field as it comes into the Earth. We are mapping our own eyelid here. Smelling our own upper lip.

Also curious that PLANCK refuses to normalize the image above, to make it clear what is being mapped. If they matched the map's north to the Sun's north, that white line—which we are told is the Solar equator (I think that is what they are saying)—would straighten out and the big blue anomaly would go to the south pole. Once there, it would no longer be an anomaly. It would be an indication of the charge field.

You see, they can center this map anywhere they like, and they are choosing to publish it with a center that is nowhere near a normal center for us. They are centering it on the galactic equator, with the galactic core at the center. That keeps us from reading it relative to local data. That is the next best thing to telling us the dog ate it.

Yes, this is basically one more map of my charge field. They aren't mapping cosmic background radiation, they are mapping the ambient charge field as it comes into the field of the Earth. This map is the *interaction* of the incoming external charge field with the Earth's own charge field. So of course it will have signatures of both fields. A closer study will show not only Solar and Terrestrial and Lunar charge fields, it will show the Jovian charge field and other planetary charge fields.

So why are we seeing more red in the south here? According to my charge recycling diagrams, the north is normally warmer, seeing more charge. The reason we see more red in the south is that this isn't a charge recycling map. It is a direct charge map. We aren't seeing charge come out of the Earth here, we are seeing charge coming into the Earth. Since this is charge that is collected by an orbiter, we are seeing charge that *isn't* being pulled in at the poles. Since PLANCK was collecting from Lagrange point L2, it wasn't traveling over either pole. This is just as well, since that would have skewed the map mightily.


However, since I have shown that more charge is coming in the Earth's south pole, the south hemisphere in the Solar system is going to be richer in charge. PLANCK is mainly monitoring charge that *isn't* going into the south pole, as I said, but even if you are monitoring the fraction that misses, you are still going to see the N/S uneven split.

To say it another way, the spin of the Earth and its spherical shape tends to pull ambient charge in at the poles. Photons go in the south pole, antiphotons go in the north. Since the ambient field is richer in photons by about 50%, we have more photon traffic in the south. This photon traffic is then recycled through the core, and it comes out most heavily at 30N. But not all charge is caught up in the main channels. Just as visible light is energetic enough to push right through these channels, instead going pretty much straight into the surface of the Earth with no deflection, some charge is energetic enough to do the same. It avoids the vortex and travels more directly. This is the charge PLANCK is intercepting at L2. So while recycled charge is *emitted* heavier in the north, direct charge is heavier in the south. We may assume that most charge is recycled, so direct charge is a small fraction of total charge.

Another thing to consider about PLANCK and other satellites at L2 is that due to position and angle of normal sky measurement, they will be undercounting charge from the Sun and overcounting charge from the outer planets. We are told that COBE and PLANCK were shielded from the Earth and Sun, with an orbit and spin axis chosen specifically “to keep the Earth and the Sun continually below the plane of the shield.” Not a bad idea, but of course when you are that close to the Earth, you can't block charge by just line-of-sight considerations. Although PLANCK is outside the main charge vortex, which would be indicated by the Van Allen belts, the curves still exist beyond 60,000km. The ion fields diminish at that distance, but the photon fields are still there. It is the photons that PLANCK is measuring. Which is to say that via these curves, charge will be coming around any line-of-sight blocking of Earth or Sun. It will also be coming directly from Jupiter and the other outer planets. These satellites do nothing to block or post-filter from data any charge coming from the Jovians, and this is to be expected since the physicists don't know about charge coming from there.

I think this is what may be most naïve about these so-called CBR maps. If we really wanted to map a CBR, we would have to get out of the galaxy. Since that is impossible, the next best thing would be to get out of the Solar System. Since that is impossible, the next best thing would be to put the satellite in a far solar orbit, perpendicular to the plane (in order to avoid as much Solar charge as possible). The next best thing would be a near Solar orbit, but again, perpendicular. I don't know why anybody thinks we should be able to map a CBR from L2. There is simply too much local charge to mask. L2 isn't even beyond the Earth's own charge field, so of course that is going to interfere. Then the Sun will interfere, and Jupiter, and the galactic core and galactic plane. It would be very difficult to mask all that input, or to subtract it out later, even if you knew what that input was. But these guys are still existing in the gravity-only field of Newton, where charge doesn't even enter the field equations. They don't even understand the charge is there, so how are they going to mask it or filter it from data? It would be like Aristotle trying to map the CBR from Mount Parnitha by watching the wind moving amongst his orreries.

The only thing of interest to come from this new map—so far as I have seen—is the change in percentage of normal matter. Before PLANCK, it was calculated at 4.5%. Now it is at 4.9%.



That interests me because [I have calculated the ratio of photons to ordinary matter](#), finding 19.186 to 1. That is a percentage for ordinary matter of 4.954%. How did I calculate that?

$$e = 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$$

$$1\text{C} = 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg/s (see definition of Ampere to find this number in the mainstream)}$$

$$e = 3.204 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg/s}$$

So the proton is recycling that much charge. If we divide that by the mass of the proton, we get 19.186. The proton is recycling 19 times its own mass in photons every second. That is what the mainstream doesn't understand, which is why the mainstream doesn't have a category for 95% of the mass/energy in the universe. I don't know how they are changing their calculations of matter based on this new map, unless they are reading my papers out of the corners of their eyes. But they happen to be closing in on my number, by luck or intention.

*The lead character in *One Flew over the Cuckoo's Nest*.