

Scott McIntosh continues to Self-Destruct



by Miles Mathis

First published June 22, 2022

[Does he really have a qr code on his sleeve? He seems like the sort that would find that amusing, doesn't he? He probably has a bar code on his neck and a microchipped dog, too. He probably can't wait to download his brain onto a computer.]

What McIntosh seems to forget is that I have more readers than he does, even in the mainstream, and that I am watching him. He seems to be under the mistaken impression that because he is affiliated and I am not, everyone is just ignoring me, but that obviously isn't the case. Pretending I don't exist and ignoring me are two different things, since everyone is **reading me** while pretending I don't exist. They are watching me and my theories destroy him in slow motion, but he is apparently so self-absorbed he hasn't gotten that message.

[What I saw today was this claim from him at ScienceAlert:](#)

"We've been pretty much spot on for about 10 years, but it's not diffusing through the scientific community," he said.

Maybe it's not diffusing through the scientific community because they know **it isn't true**. McIntosh originally claimed cycle 25 would be very weak, and only changed to strong after February 2020, *after [my revolutionary paper on the cycles](#) came out—continuing [predictions I had been making about this Solar cycle since 2014](#)*. So he has been right for about two years, not ten, and he is right because he basically lifted my prediction without taking my mechanism and without giving me any credit.

Everyone knows this, Scott, since my paper has been superviral since that time. It didn't get superviral by drawing just a few tinfoil-hat-wearing loonies. It got superviral because it is being read by academics and professionals all over the world, including everyone at NASA, the Air Force, NCAR, NOAA, and everywhere else. So you can pretend I don't exist, but you can't pretend your colleagues don't know about me. You can't pretend they don't know what they know.

We can see proof of that even in this article, where one of his colleagues Wheatland admits

Scott McIntosh's ideas are interesting, and the McIntosh/Leamon [that's Robert Leamon of the University of Maryland's Goddard Planetary Heliophysics Institute] forecast for cycle 25 is closer than the official one at this stage. However, **it is not based on a physical model**. I doubt it has more predictive power than the other observationally-based approaches to prediction.

Let's see, which prediction **based on a physical model** is hitting all the numbers right now? There is only one physical model on the table, and that is mine. Everybody knows that.

Maybe McIntosh only follows Google, so he is under the impression my paper has fallen off the map. No, Scott, Google removed it from searches due to a request from the Air Force (I assume), but the other search engines tell us it is still superviral. This applies to my papers across the board, in all subfields, and though my overall numbers are being hidden or suppressed by Big Tech, they continue to grow. So you are making a massive error in assuming your colleagues don't see what you are doing. Just because mainstream scientists aren't embracing me doesn't mean they don't know about me or don't know I am right. And even if they think I am wrong about the mechanism, they can see what you are up to here. Their memories don't end two years ago. They remember you initially were onboard with their low predictions for cycle 25, and so they don't appreciate you throwing them under the bus for your own greater glory, and claiming you knew all along cycle 25 would be strong.

I also point out that there is no way McIntosh could be spot-on about anything, since his prediction never had any spots on it. It was always just a smooth curve, and about the only "spot" it ever had was its peak. But, amusingly, even that has changed recently, as both McIntosh and the mainstream keep moving their prediction for that peak. The only prediction that could be spot on was mine, which has many **monthly** predictions on it. That's right: because my prediction came out of a simple mechanism, it allowed me to calculate many monthlies. Because it is based on planetary alignments, it allowed me to predict peaks at those alignments. So far those predictions have been spot-on. I predicted peaks for the Jupiter/Saturn and Jupiter/Neptune alignments and the data hit my numbers almost exactly. If the Air Force weren't suppressing sunspot counts, I believe my numbers would have been proved to astonishing margins of error. My assumption that good estimates could be achieved while ignoring inner planet alignments has so far been confirmed as well, since it appears that those inner alignments only cause daily or weekly spikes, which are of course smoothed when we look only at monthlies.

As I see it, the only way for McIntosh to save face would for him to give up the current con and come clean, being the first mainstream scientist to embrace me and promote me. I have already won this one*, so if he were really ahead of any curve he would see that. His longterm reputation would then attach to me, and his previous antics would be forgotten and forgiven in the light of that. But I guess we all gotta do what we gotta do. He has his destiny and I have mine.

But I point out that Wheatland or anyone else could also guarantee their future reputation by being the first to embrace me, on this or any other theory. If they could see into the future they would be racing to be the first. They aren't, just proving once again the powers of prognostication or prediction in the

mainstream are nil. They are as talented at sniffing the wind as they are at reading data or doing mechanics.

Some will say it is comments like that which are keeping anyone from embracing me. They will say that if I weren't so combative, I would already have mainstream allies. Does anyone really believe that? Does anyone really believe that if I were soft and cuddly I would have lots of friends in the mainstream? No. I haven't been ignored because I am combative, I have been ignored because I am an outsider, and for no other reason. I didn't join the clubs or pay the dues. I am not related to the right people. When someone in the mainstream does decide to embrace me or promote me, it won't be because I am soft and cuddly, it will be because I am right. That person, when he crosses over, will simply say to his little colleagues, "He is right and that is what science is about. Plus, you would be a bit testy if you had been treated like we have treated him for two decades. Did you expect him to enjoy being censored and slandered? Do you really think he would have done what he had done if he had been the sort to politely accept mainstream dismissal?"

BTW, the Air Force undercounted sunspots by at least 50 today.

As of June 24, Solen.info has changed its method of publishing spots, I assume in answer to me. It is now hiding all area photos, so that we cannot weigh them with our own eyes. All data is being hidden with the excuse there is a power outage at Stanford, but Stanford isn't the only facility worldwide processing images of the Sun. So this just looks like more cat and mouse to me, me being the cat of course.

*I have won, because I have already correctly predicted the rise at the right place in the right amount. I have matched the first two major spikes to planetary conjunctions. No one has ever done anything remotely like that in the history of the world, and—based on my sine waves—there is no chance that was just lucky guess. In my long paper from early 2020, I used the same sine waves to match much previous data going back many decades, giving dozens of clear examples. And remember, I was also right about Cycle 25 starting back in 2018, and I predicted that back in 2014. The mainstream was forced to hide that with their embarrassing 13-month smooth, one of the most transparent conjobs in the history of science. So even if I am wrong about some of the upcoming points, it won't matter. *Alea jacta est.*