


















SUNSPOT PREDICTION CONFIRMED FOR SOLAR CYCLE 25 MILES MATHIS

Sunspots January 10, 2023


The Air Force forced SWPC—at gunpoint, we presume—to undercount sunspots by more than 100 again today. The official count was 82, with a sunspot number of 142. But the photos were published at Solen.info, proving the fudge.

S8133	2022.12.29	2	1	N17W64	0090	CSO				
13180	2022.12.30	3		N18W63	0100	HSX			SWPC considers AR S8133 and S8135 to be one region	
S8135	2022.12.30	3	2	N21W60	0020	HRX				
13181	2022.12.31 2023.01.01	35	34	S19W33	0700	EKC	DAC			area: 0200 beta-gamma-delta location: S16W34 SWPC considers this and AR S8155 as one region
S8146	2023.01.02			S13W53						
S8150	2023.01.03			N14W46						
13183	2023.01.03 2023.01.05	6	11	S17W62	0110	CSO	CAO			area: 0090 location: S17W65
13182	2023.01.04 2023.01.05	30	102	S17E20	0950	EKC	FKI			beta-gamma-delta location: S17E19
S8155	2023.01.05	38	23	S22W29	0690	DKC				
S8157	2023.01.05			S02W12						
13185	2023.01.06 2023.01.09	4	19	N20W00	0030	CRO	CRO			area: 0050 location: N19E01
13184	2023.01.08 2023.01.08	4	17	S14E67	0400	HKX	FKC			beta-gamma-delta area: 0470

S8161	2023.01.08		5		S17W02	0010		AXX		
S8162	2023.01.08				S31E04					
S8163	2023.01.09		7	3	N13E31	0025		DRO		
S8164	2023.01.09		7	3	N23E78	0050		DRI		
S8165	2023.01.09		4		S07E15	0008		BXO		

As you can see, there are 11 active regions, plus about 140 spots, giving us around 250 for the sunspot number. But the Air Force refuses to divide regions as they should, as is easy to see just by noticing how large that inset photo is for 13182. It should be split into three for the purpose of sunspot number. Even Solen finds their divisions suspicious, as you see, having to tell you that SWPC is treating S8155 and 13181 as the same, when they clearly aren't. Those two fudges alone allow them to jettison 30 from the sunspot number. They have also just ignored the first region S8133, though there is a large multiple spot there. Also conspicuously ignored S8163.


Solar flux is also way up today in the biggest spike of the Cycle, again indicating they are sitting on the sunspot number. I remind you they are doing this to hide the monthly spike I predicted for January of 190, which we would be hitting but for all this fudging by the Air Force. We are currently living through one of the greatest data hides in the history of physics with this sunspot hoax, which is why I am making reports every few months. Without my logging of this crime against science, the future might never know of it.



Next day: Sun spots continue the hard spike, and even with huge fudging the Air Force couldn't keep them below 200. They surpassed 200 for the first time this cycle, with 201 being reported as the sunspot number today. However, according to the published charts, that is still 125 too low. There are 16 active regions, with Air Force ignoring half of them, or combining them. Then they undercounted by about 45, giving us a fudge of 125. So the real sunspot count should be about **325**. By far the highest of this cycle, going off the charts.

We are also seeing a spate of huge X-class Solar flares, again confirming my January spike. These are probably the cause of all air traffic in the US going down, though they are trying to misdirect away from that. If they admitted the cause was Solar flares, that would just point again at my successful prediction.

For those just getting here, I am the first in history to successfully predict a Solar Cycle, and I have now hit the first three spikes of Cycle 25 right on the head. I predicted this January spike three years ago, [publishing this chart of the full cycle](#), including 12 calculated monthly numbers.



This was based on my Solar Cycle theory of 2014, [published here](#). And that paper was based on my charge theory going back many more years, to 2005 and before, [where I was able to separate out](#) the charge field from Newton's equation, showing the unified field and how it was composed. By 2009 I already working unified field equations, [which allowed me to fully solve Bode's Law](#), replacing it with [the unified field](#). This is all to say that the Solar Cycle fell to my unified field solution, since I have shown the big planets influence the Solar Cycle not via gravity, but mainly via charge. Others before me had noticed the Solar Cycles seemed to follow the cycles of Jupiter, but the gravitational influences weren't able to explain the data. So they gave up a century ago and almost no one has looked seriously at it since. Not having charge to work with, or a unified field, the mainstream simply couldn't solve this one. But with my unified field, the solution was pretty simple. The Sun is recycling charge coming in from the Galactic Core, then sending it out to the planets on the Solar Wind. This is the main mechanism of the Solar System, not gravity. Gravity is only a cohort of charge/EM in the unified

field. And once we include charge in the equations, all the old unanswerable questions are immediately answered, including—as it turns out—the question of chaos. [The charge field fills all of Newton's "remaining inequalities"](#), making celestial mechanics fully deterministic again. The chaos was never in Nature, it was only in incomplete and faulty field equations.