


[return to updates](#)

TERMINATOR EVENT

I'll Be Back!



by Miles Mathis

First published March 2, 2022

Readers alerted me today to [a general press release by Scott McIntosh](#), who is still trying to steal my Solar Cycle prediction. But he just made yet another fatal error, which of course I am gratified to see. He had previously followed my prediction, saying cycle 25 would be very strong, but a temporary downtick during February made him jittery, I guess, and he has now scaled back his maximum prediction to 190. He claims this was due to a “termination event” in December 2021.

Just to remind you, [I have been saying since 2014](#) that Solar Cycles were caused by an EM feedback loop between the Sun and planets, using the charge field as the medium. This will naturally cause spikes at major conjunctions, like the Jupiter-Saturn conjunction of December 2020 and the upcoming Jupiter-Neptune conjunction of this April-June. McIntosh would have been smarter to wait until after that to begin tuning his previous predictions, but I guess he figured that although I was right about Jupiter-Saturn, I couldn't be right about Neptune. Neptune being so much smaller and farther away, you know. But I predict that within 90 days McIntosh is going to wish he had kept his mouth shut. The monthly average for late spring is going to be around 120, in a strong spike coinciding with the conjunction, at which time the mainstream will be adjusting their numbers up a second time in a mad rush to save face.

McIntosh published a paper in December of 2020—right after the Jupiter-Saturn conjunction, of course—in which he cobbled together a ridiculous non-mechanical fudge, finessing past data to force big numbers to appear, by which he could be seen to make a bold prediction. Problem was, [I had already made that prediction 11 months earlier](#), and published it, in a paper that had and has gotten huge traffic

online. And I didn't just make some wimpy general prediction, with a maximum and a big spread, I published a monthly chart of the entire present Cycle, with 12 calculated points or spikes or predictions. More than that, I published flow charts of planetary conjunctions and showed exactly how they created the cycle sine wave with the proper cycle length and maxima and minima. I clearly explained the mechanics and dynamics, which are based on standard electromagnetic interactions. This was all done on purpose, so that if I ended up missing anything—which is possible—we could see precisely where it went wrong and how to correct it.

It looks to me like McIntosh read that paper and thought to himself that it might be worth the risk to make a similar prediction of a Bull market when everyone else was predicting Bear. He had nothing to lose, after all, since everyone had always been wrong in their predictions of cycles. He couldn't do any worse than his colleagues, who had never had any luck explaining Solar Cycles. It was actually a smart bet, betting against his colleagues, as you are seeing. But he just made a huge mistake, due, as usual, to timidity. Or possibly due to assuming the mainstream would maintain control of reporting. What do I mean by that? I mean that he is almost certainly reading my newer papers on Solar Cycle reporting, where [I show the mainstream is misreporting numbers on purpose](#), to try to bury my prediction. He therefore would see that if he wanted to match reported numbers, he needed to scale his prediction back to match the fudged numbers coming out of the Air Force. He is betting that the Air Force will still be fudging numbers at maximum in 2026, and that mainstream scientists will be believing that reportage.

For myself, I don't believe that will be the case. If I am right, then by 2026 the entire mainstream narrative on Solar Cycles is going to completely crash and burn. If my predictions continue to hit for the next four years, all mainstream physicists of any integrity are going to give up on NASA, NOAA, and the Air Force, and come over to me. We will still have the raw data, but it will be up to us to read it, not the Air Force. So I only have to match my own readings of data. I am not concerned with matching fake data readings.


McIntosh is also cutting his own throat with all this termination event nonsense, since, when looked at next to my own papers, it just looks like desperate noodling. No one could ever make a successful argument for cycle prediction without knowing the mechanism of cause, and McIntosh isn't even pretending to give us that. Bloviating about termination events tells us nothing about the mechanical cause of the cycles. Here is what it says at Spaceweather.com:

The basic idea is this: Solar Cycle 25 (SC25) started in Dec. 2019. However, old Solar Cycle 24 (SC24) refused to go away. It hung on for two more years, producing occasional old-cycle sunspots and clogging the sun's upper layers with its decaying magnetic field. During this time, the two cycles coexisted, SC25 struggling to break free while old SC24 held it back.

"Solar Cycle 24 was cramping Solar Cycle 25's style," says Leamon.

That's just pathetic. "Style cramping" as a physical cause of a cycle. "Clogging the sun's upper layers" with a "previous" magnetic field? Really? And that explains the upcoming number at maximum how? According to his theory, every minimum has a termination event, so how does the event determine the cycle after it? Plus, McIntosh and his cabal simply ignore any data that doesn't fit their lame theory. How does he explain the huge spike of November 2020 with a termination event in December 2021? I thought competing cycles were cramping eachother's styles back in November 2020. So how did they uncramp up to 84 then? How did they uncramp up to 145 in December 2021:

Solar and geomagnetic activity



By the way, although the upcoming conjunction of Jupiter-Neptune occurs on Earth on April 12, it occurs from a Solar point of view more than a month later, around June 1. This line from Sun to planets is the one we are concerned with here, not the line from the Earth. So if there is some delay in data, don't get antsy.