


AIR FORCE STILL FUDGING HUGELY

by Miles Mathis














First published November 25, 2023

Today is a good day to point out that the Air Force is still fudging the sunspot numbers by huge margins. Today they reported 184 as the sunspot number, but according to my own handcount, that is about 100 too little. The number should be around 280, a miss of around 50%.




ID	Start Date	End Date	Count	Region	Other	Observer	Image 1	Image 2	Notes
59156	2023.11.18		13 7	N22W01	0200	DAO			beta-delta SWPC apparently considers this region as two groups (13490 and 13495)
13490	2023.11.18		6	N20W03	0180	DAI			
59157	2023.11.18			N19W44					
13492	2023.11.18 2023.11.20		21 38 16	N19E11	0330	EHI CSO			area: 0410
13491	2023.11.18 2023.11.19		2 7 3	N10E04	0000	HAX CAO			location: N10E05
13496	2023.11.19 2023.11.20			S09W20					location: N07W18
13493	2023.11.20 2023.11.20		2 17 8	S13E17	0000	CSO CSO			area: 0150

You can see that even Solen.info is mystified by their counts. In that first line they give no number to those two large spots, instead hiding the number in the line below. They add two more in a line further down, but those very large spots should still count far more than 8 together. Same for the large spots in 13491 and 13493, which should count far above 2 each. A bigger problem is the two huge spots in 13492, where they should zoom in and list them separately, giving us another region and therefore another 10 in the count. Those two spots should count as about 60 total, but the Air Force has counted them as only 31.

13494	2023.11.20 2023.11.20	1	5	2	S18E23	0060	HSX	CSO			area: 0160
13495	2023.11.20	2			N25E05						apparently the spots in the northeastern part of AR S9156
S9156	2023.11.20				S38E09						
13498	2023.11.21 2023.11.21	1	2	1	S12W67	0020	HSX	CAO			location: S11W72
13500	2023.11.22 2023.11.23	6	25	15	S19E50	0530	DKC	DKC			beta-delta area: 0600 location: S18E51
13499	2023.11.22 2023.11.23	9	22	17	S17W09	0060	DAO	DAI			area: 0100
13501	2023.11.22 2023.11.23	1	1	1	S09E58	0060	HSX	HSX			area: 0140 location: S09E72
S9171	2023.11.22				N08W16						
13502	2023.11.22 2023.11.23	7	19	11	N14E13	0090	DAI	DKO			area: 0310 location: N15E16

You see how active the sun was today, and that is still only a second partial of the total list. Again we see criminal undercounting of spot strengths. That first spot is listed a one, when it should be about 15. See the one pictured right below it, also listed as one, which is far smaller. Then the huge group below that listed as six when it should be about 30. And in again in 13499, where we have a large spot weighted as a small spot, counted as one when it should be about 12.



We have another big problem there. That was published today, but note it is dated November 11. Why the delay? Because they didn't want to publish that on November 11, because on that day they were reporting only about 40 spots in four regions. But you can see for yourself how active the Sun is there, especially to the east. All those black swirls are sunspot areas, telling us immediately the sunspot number should have been way above 80. On any given day the Air Force is suppressing sunspots from 50-100%. Why? Because they need to keep the numbers way down to match their own predictions, and foil my predictions.