


# The Mainstream *and* Alternative Media continue to lie in spectacular fashion about Solar Cycles



by Miles Mathis


First published July 21, 2024

Today [ZeroHedge](#) is leading with a story called "First Traces of Solar Cycle 26 Detected on the Sun". So about six years early they are already trying to **move you on** from the current Cycle 25, which has been the biggest disaster for mainstream science since. . . well, ever. They admit Cycle 26 won't arrive until 2030 at the soonest, so why are you being propogandized with this fake story now? One, so that they can tell you we have already hit maximum in Cycle 25. This is the second sentence:

**The current cycle, Cycle 25, has reached what scientists call a "[solar maximum](#)," when the sun's magnetic field flips and its poles swap places.**

I predicted they would do this, claiming we were already past maximum now. Despite the fact that the early peak of 2023 was way too early to be maximum, and they know that. It is just the first peak of two. Every cycle has two peaks, and I assume they are well aware of that. Several years ago they predicted a maximum for this cycle in 2025 or 2026, so they should know 2023 is way too early to be the final peak. That is their own graph above, but let's zoom in so you can see what I am saying.

ISES Solar Cycle Sunspot Number Progression




That's their prediction from years ago, which they haven't bothered to update in most stories, including this one, though it is way off in both time and amplitude. You can see it is way too low, but they are still trying to fit real numbers to it in some orgy of desperation. The purple line is a smoothing of the black monthly averages, and you can see it is way above the red line. But even the purple line has been fudged at the end. They have it peaking at about 125, but you can see for yourself it can't be right. They would have to ignore all the peaks above it, so it isn't a smooth, it is a fudge.

Confirming that is more recent data, including the big spike in April 2024, **on the Jupiter/Uranus conjunction**. Sunspots spiked to 283 even after the Air Force sat on them, which was very embarrassing for the mainstream, just adding to their neuroses. By better suppressing other days in April, they were able to keep the monthly average down to about 142, but if you add that peak to the last graph it kills their fudge of the purple line, doesn't it? They desperately wanted to pull that purple line down at the end, and to make it do so they probably used a smooth that was too long, like their infamous 13-month smooth for the end of Cycle 24. But using a too-long smooth they hoped to capture data many months after that peak in 2023, which would have the effect of lowering their purple hump. But since numbers didn't continue to drop in 2023, instead starting back up and then hitting this new peak in April 2024, it killed that hope for them. In fact, averages were even higher in May and June of this year, hitting 146 and 157. So the chart refuses to come back to their early prediction, instead continuing to plateau as it did in 2023, as I predicted. I am predicting a dip around the first of the year, but that will be temporary.

Plus, I have shown in dozens of papers that the black monthly averages are also fudged. They have been using finessed data for years, [and I caught them at it](#), which is why this is the biggest disaster for mainstream science ever. In about 2021, to answer my predictions and papers, the Air Force was brought in to control release of numbers, instead of NASA and NOAA, who had always done it historically. Air Force promptly shaved the real sunspot numbers down by huge margins, to try to fit them to mainstream predictions, and to try to foil my predictions. They are still at it. It hasn't worked, because even with the shaving the numbers are still way too high to match mainstream predictions, as you see. But I guess they feel they can accomplish the rest by hypnosis. With enough jawing in the media—telling you things that clearly aren't true—they can fool most people. Most people don't know

a sunspot from a freckle and will believe anything they see in major media.

They had to do this because I had predicted in 2020 a strong Cycle 25, while everyone in the mainstream was predicting very weak, like Cycle 24. I went **so far as to predict monthlies, which no one had ever done in history**. I could do this because I was fitting peaks to planetary alignments, which are known in advance.



As it happened, I hit every one of those circled predictions up to now right on the head, knocking the air out of the mainstream. I was right in both time and amplitude on all of the peaks on the rise, since they hit those planetary alignments like clockwork. But rather than admit I had been right, they just increased their efforts to bury me. They have been instructed to pretend I don't exist, even while my papers are outranking theirs. Many of those papers have been viral for years, and it got so bad Google was instructed to join Air Force in faking numbers. Google began delisting my papers in about 2021, in response to this problem (and others). You can read all about this on my science site. Soon Duck also delisted me, then Yahoo and Bing. Now only Yandex is not censoring me. But even with illegal interference by most of the large search engines, many of my papers on both sites remain superviral.

But now that we are in between peaks in this cycle, Air Force and its minions are rushing in to claim we are now past maximum and are in a six-year decline to minimum. Even these alternative sites like Zerohedge and NaturalNews are being instructed to accept these stories from Air Force, so that people can be herded from all directions. Because by taking that first peak as maximum, they hope to limit the damage here to some extent. They are hoping beyond hope I am wrong and the second peak won't be even higher than the first. In that case, they can finagle that first peak down to around 125 with their fake purple smooth, which isn't that far above their initial prediction of 115. That would only make them wrong by about 10%, which is bad but not as bad as the truth. Using real data, that first peak *should* smooth at about 175, making them wrong by 52%, but even that is not their real nightmare. That nightmare is that the second peak is going to smooth at around 225 using real numbers, which will make them **wrong by almost 100%**.

You will say, “That can't be! They can't be wrong by 100%, not with all their expensive computers and modeling and exorbitant taxpayer funding and SOHO satellites” and so on. But we know they can *because they already were* in the early part of the cycle, and they admit it in the graphs above. Before Air Force came in and suppressed numbers, the purple line was running double the red line, which is 100%. Go to 2022 in their graph, and see where the two lines hit the mark. Red hits at about 27 and purple hits at about 62, which is more than double, more than 100%. That's what caused the first embolism—that is why Air Force got the call to come in and sit on these numbers.

Some are saying this has nothing to do with me and that I am delusional. These people need to tell us then why Air Force got involved in sunspot reporting for the first time in history just after my big prediction began hitting. Then need to explain why, although the mainstream prediction for Cycle 24 was also terrible, Air Force didn't come parachute in in 2010 and begin suppressing sunspot numbers by 75%, to protect the mainstream. I will tell you why: because in 2010 no one cared if the mainstream was right about sunspots or not. They had never been right. Every prediction to date had been a disaster, but it didn't really matter because they had no competition. Solar Cycles were a big mystery and everyone admitted it back then. But after I entered the picture, all hell broke loose. By 2020, I had been enemy number one in physics for at least a decade, [embarrassing these mainstream people over and over, year after year, on many of the biggest questions](#). So when I got involved in sunspot prediction, the trumpets sounded and everyone went to defcon 1. No one in the field suggested that maybe, just maybe, they ought to give my theory and papers a fair read. They had never done it before and weren't about to start now. I was an outsider and that was all that mattered. I hadn't paid the tens of thousands in tuition for a PhD and didn't have a sponsor, which was bad enough. The fact I hadn't bowed to their initial slander and libel and slunk away was worse. Even worse was that I had done what no one had EVER done: I turned around and charged *them*. I declared unlimited war on the whole pathetic bunch of them, one man against the entire field. EVEN WORSE: **I had been winning for a decade or more.** My papers were outranking the university and other institutional sites, [even outranking Wikipedia](#). Did that suggest to any of them I might be right? Not even. In their diseased minds it just meant they needed to sit on me more, which is why someone had the idea of calling in the Air Force. They couldn't just bomb me from an F35, though I wouldn't be surprised to find that someone suggested it. But they could shift data faking into overdrive. NASA and NOAA apparently had some compunction about fudging data more than a few percentage points, but Air Force had no such compunction, since they didn't even pretend to be scientists.

But that isn't the end of the misdirection. We have seen both the mainstream and the alternative media also promoting the idea of a Grand Solar Minimum, to add to your levels of fear and loathing. This fear porn started during or just prior to the last real Solar Minimum that started in 2017. That minimum really *was* low and brutal, being the worst since 1812, and the mainstream never lets bad news go to waste. They always need your eyes off reality, so they welcome any excuse to divert you into these anxiety fests. They were claiming we were entering some sort of second Maunder Minimum, where sunspots went to zero for decades, crops failed, and the world fell into famine and disease. That storyline got some legs when Solar Minimum dragged on for four years, at least a year longer than normal, and sunspots bottomed out several times. But when Solar activity went on a steep rise in late 2020, that story should have been retired. Solar Cycle 25 has turned out to be far stronger than the mainstream predicted and exactly what I predicted, which should have killed that story completely. But as you see, here we are four years later and the media is still cramming it down your throat. As we [have seen, NaturalNews is still selling this Grand Solar Minimum nonsense](#), and Zerohedge is today telling us we will go into a Little Ice Age in 2030.

**Several studies (one [here](#)) have suggested the sun will experience a new grand solar minimum between**

**2030 and 2040. This could decrease solar activity, similar to the Maunder minimum in the 17th century. At that time, Earth experienced a period of global cooling known as the Little Ice Age.**

This from a mainstream science that was 100% wrong about the current Solar Cycle. They are 100% wrong now (and faking it), so why would you trust them to tell you what is going to happen in Cycle 26 in 2030 or 2040? To add to the hilarity, if you take that link, it goes to a paper at *Scientific Research* from 2015. But that is almost six years before the current Solar Cycle caught fire, destroying all the predictions of these people. Most of these papers like this have now been retracted in utter embarrassment ([see Valentina Zharkova, for one](#)), destroying the careers of several people, so I don't know why this one is still up. I took the time to look up the sole author of this paper, Nils-Axel Morner, and guess what? **He died in 2020, just as my paper went viral.** Maybe that's why Morner's paper wasn't retracted in 2020, eh?

As for this current claim about Cycle 26, we find this:

**Researchers have been analyzing solar torsional oscillation patterns on the sun using helioseismic data from the Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG), the Michelson Doppler Imager (MDI) onboard the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory, and the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory since the mid-1990s. This data now covers Solar Cycles 23, 24, and 25, allowing researchers better to understand the precursors to the next solar cycle.**

**[Rachel] Howe has been a student of the stars for 25 years, studying the sun's rotation through GONG and MDI data.**

So it's a GONG show and they admit it. But all these people like Howe have proved is that studying Solar data is useless for predicting future cycles, since cycles aren't determined by Solar data or patterns in data. That would work only if the Sun were the cause of its own cycles, right? If the Solar architecture is causing these cycles, then studying that data might make some sense, because in that cause you might eventually tease out some mechanism. But since—as I have proved—the Sun is just a synapse in a far larger electrical structure, that method is fatally flawed. The Sun is recycling streams of charge coming in from the Galactic Core, releasing that charge out into the Solar System, and the planets are then creating feedback loops. It is these feedback loops that cause the main Solar Cycle. I have shown the Cycle can be very well approximated by following magnetic alignments between the Core, Sun, and Jovians. This is not astrology or wuwu, since the mechanism is real and known by the mainstream. I have proposed no new fields or mechanisms, and magnetic influences among bodies is known. I agree that it is difficult to believe the mainstream has missed this mechanism all along, and still resists it with such illogical rancor, but you have to understand they have been existing under a gravity-only model for centuries. This planetary alignment theory was proposed in a clipped and naive form more than a century ago using gravitational influences, but they couldn't make that work. So they shelved this with prejudice a long time ago, refusing to even rerun basic analyses once it became clear EM was a major player in the Solar System. Leaving it to me, an outsider, to do it.

But that is their problem. It isn't my fault mainstream physics has had its head up its wazoo for almost a century. It isn't my fault they have been jacking with computers and operators and black holes and singularities and vacuum forces and quasi-particles and time reversals and electron holes and spooky forces, ignoring basic physics, basic math, and basic logic. At some point they are going to have to deal with the fact I did these things and they didn't, since there is no honest way around that. They can continue to bury me only by cheating, and that won't help them in the long run. That cheat will create an even bigger hole in their psyches than their initial failure. They may think they can bury me, but they will only end up burying themselves.

Still, it amazes me that we are this far in and absolutely no one in science wants to be on the right side of history. It just proves to me that these people can't read real data *or* smell the wind. I made a prediction and we already have **four years** of real data on it. Although the data has been pushed by the mainstream, it is still clear I was right about everything. This is because the Air Force pushed the amplitudes, but it did not change the times of the peaks. The peaks all hit planetary conjunctions, and no one can deny that. So how long are these people going to keep stalling? Do we have to match the entire Solar Cycle to my graph, in a perfect overlay, before they wake up? Will they concede even then? I really don't think so, since this is just one of hundreds of major problems I have solved with equal or greater ingenuity and precision, including unification, nuclear architecture, the Lagrangian, molecular bonding, Bode's Law, the fine structure constant, superposition, entanglement, the two-slit experiment, the rainbow, lift, pressure flow, and core theory. While these people have been hiding under the computer while Air Force fudges numbers for them, I have revolutionized large parts of science. That is now an ineluctable fact, and any continued attempts to outrun it will just make them look more pathetic.

I agree that it is unseemly for me to say it, but unfortunately no one else is saying it for me. And you know what is far more unseemly than me promoting myself? THE FACT I HAVE TO! More unseemly by far than any self-assurance I could exhibit is the suppression of all independent research and thinking that now passes as peer review. More unseemly by far is the locked-down, gate-kept, censored, intimidated and bought-out field that now calls it itself physics and science, passing itself off as free and democratic. And these people I have been attacking for 24 years now aren't just incompetent and wildly dishonest, since—as it turns out—they aren't even working on their own initiative. They are controlled by fear and intimidation from above to do and say what they do, so it almost isn't worth blaming them for anything but cowardice. The whole world is run by intimidation on one side and cowardice on the other, creating a closed loop of corruption it is very difficult to penetrate.

Yes, it was even worse than I thought in 2020, as we have learned since then. As Lily Tomlin once put it, “No matter how jaded you get, you can't keep up”. During Covid and the fake vaccine roll-out, we found out what “the science” really is: science paid for by some big company like Pfizer. You will say that is just medical science, but it isn't. It is science and everything else now, which has been utterly corrupted by money, greed, and a complete loss of shame, dignity, and scruples. Given that, it is no surprise to see “science” and society itself collapsing in great smoldering heaps all around us.