

More Evidence for my Core Theory just Arrived



by Miles Mathis

First published November 23, 2024

The Planetary Science Institute, led in this experiment by Matt Siegler, [just reported](#) an unexpected and unexplainable large emission of heat on the far side of the Moon. It is releasing through an old volcano but is coming from deep in the core.

This was unexpected because the Moon has been thought to be cold and dead, with no source of internal heat like the Earth's dynamo. These scientists are guessing the heat is coming from radioisotopes in granite in the area, but [as I have shown](#), that is a bad guess. In that seminal paper from 2013, I proved that the Earth's heat couldn't be coming from either dynamos or radioisotopes. It has to be coming from charge recycling. The Earth (and every other celestial body) is a charge recycler and engine, pulling in charge received from the Sun at its poles and releasing most heavily near the equator. **I proved this by calculating the heat of the Earth from the fundamental charge, simply scaling up by radius.**

What they are discovering here is that the same applies to the Moon. The Moon is also recycling charge from the Sun. But because the Moon is in tidal lock, much of its natural spin is being suppressed, short-circuiting a portion of its heat production. That is the main reason it is so cold there. It is also the reason the Moon has no atmosphere. Scientists currently believe the Moon is so cold because it doesn't have an atmosphere, but as usual that is upside-down. The Moon doesn't have an atmosphere because it is so cold. The creation and maintenance of an atmosphere requires a large amount of charge rising per second, and the Moon doesn't meet that requirement by a longshot. However, due to its multiple quick motions and double orbit (around Earth and Sun), it has more than enough angular momentum to generate heat via charge recycling. That is where this current heat is coming from.

The scientists should know that, since they are talking about volcanoes in these press releases. Volcanoes are very hot, you know, and could hardly be driven by small patches of granite near the

surface, no matter how radioactive they were. The Earth's volcanoes are thought to be driven by heat rising from the core, so these scientists either need to propose an iron dynamo for the Moon or give us some other explanation of old volcanoes. Or finally listen to me. I am telling them the Moon, like the Earth, has no such dynamo. The dynamo of both is in the Sun, and they are just charge recyclers, living off the Sun's dynamo.

They admit the last eruptions were 3.5 billion years ago, so the Moon must have had more spin then. It was not yet in tidal lock.

Another problem is the granite itself, as they admit. It shouldn't be there because granite requires water and plate tectonics for its creation.

As Dr. Matt Siegler of the Planetary Science Institute noted, “If you don’t have water, it takes extreme situations to make granite. So, here’s this system with no water, and no plate tectonics—but you have granite.”

One possibility is that the Moon’s interior experienced localized heating events, potentially from radioactive decay, leading to partial melting and the formation of granite. This hypothesis is supported by the detection of heat emanating from the granite mass, attributed to radioactive elements trapped within the rock. Dr. Siegler explained, “We interpret this heat flux as resulting from a radiogenic-rich granite body below the caldera.”

You have to laugh. Siegler just went circular, didn't he? The hypothesis is supported by the result itself? He detected heat, therefore it must be radioactivity causing it? How does that work?

Obviously, there is no way minor local radioactivity can cause the “extreme situations” required to create granite. Granite cannot create itself with its own radioactivity. It is nearly impossible to believe a scientist would get caught saying something like that and not retract it immediately. The granite is either proof of some ancient dynamo in the Moon's core, now almost dead for reasons unknown, which drove the granite to the surface through these volcanoes, or it is proof of charge recycling. I predict they will choose the former, since that saves them from referencing Miles Mathis, but they will eventually discover there is no evidence for that dynamo and lots of evidence against it. That realization will probably come first from the Earth, where that evidence already stacks to the Moon and has been existing under their noses all along. As I show in that paper. My calculation of the Earth's heat from the fundamental charge should have convinced all scientists this is a charge phenomenon, but it didn't. They are always wishing for some bolt from the blue to make sense of everything, but when it arrives they always miss it. They miss it because they are always looking in the wrong place, so their eyes are always unluckily averted. They are focused on their supercomputers, so they have missed every major advance in physics in the past 25 years.