

Sabrina Pasterski



by Miles Mathis

May 2, 2026

A female reader sent me [this, saying wasn't it great that the next Einstein would be woman](#). I immediately winced, because I have seen it before. When I was mainly an artist, every few years they would promote some pretty-ish young woman as the next Van Gogh. She would turn out to be some hack painting brightly colored landscapes in a faux-Impressionist style, forgotten in a few years, and if she was really young it would later come out her father had painted the paintings, not her. More recently, the paintings would turn out to be AI or something. Always some scam, and always involving a pretty young woman with rich parents.

Same thing here. This young woman is only three years out of her postdoc and has done absolutely nothing of import, being an obvious token, but they are nonetheless promoting her as if she just rewrote all the gravity equations. And it didn't just start this year, she has been heavily promoted since 2012, when she was 19 and just out of highschool. Both *Forbes* and *Scientific American* profiled her in their 30 under 30 series that year, just for existing.

The story doesn't jive from the beginning, since they admit she was *not* selected for the Physics Olympiad in highschool, but *did* get selected for an internship at Blue Origin, with Bezos going so far as to wear a t-shirt with her picture on it. Oivay caramba! So despite not being a top student she snagged a place with Bezos, a huge red flag. She was then rejected by Harvard and wait-listed by MIT, so explain to me why she wasn't good enough for them but was being promoted as the next Einstein by *Forbes* and *Scientific American* the next year?

Just so you know, I didn't even know about the Physics Olympiad when I was in school. Such things weren't for public school nobodies in the hinterlands, and that applies across the board, not just in

physics. Later in life I learned about the promotional routes these kids take in all fields, and they weren't available to those such as me, no matter how smart we were. The contests and invitations are limited to these prestige prep schools like the one Pasterski went to in Illinois, and they aren't selected on merit. I discovered this even about the national Latin convention, NJCL, which I did go to. I won the Pentathlon event both years I went, making me the best student in the nation by one metric, but I found out many years later there were events I wasn't invited to and didn't even know about, where privileged kids from prestige schools in the East were running private contests against one another, and using those to claim *they* were national Latin champions. Despite getting waxed by me on the open test. Why does it matter and why do I care? Because they then used those events on their resumes and applications to Harvard and MIT and so on, playing on their insider status to jump ahead of people like me. It was all rigged and I didn't even know it. I do now, which is why the promotion of this Pasterski girl is so revolting.

If she has done such amazing work, you would think they would lead with that, but they are still leading all her promotion in 2026 with an airplane she allegedly built when she was 14. Except that upon more research, we find they admit it was from a kit, and we may assume her father helped her, since he is a pilot with his own planes. Her grandfather gave her a Cessna before she was 12 . . . instead of a pony, I guess.

Most places sell her as Cuban, but her father is Polish, and she is obviously Jewish. That is admitted on a few sites. Explaining the incredible promotion.

Her parents Mark Pasterski and Maria Gonzalez are heavily scrubbed online, but the name would appear to be Gonzalez Montez, and I linked her to the **Castros** through her uncle Francisco. On the other side, I found the Pasterskis related to the **Stuarts and Grosvenors**. Despite being from obvious wealth, this family is listed under addresses that turn out to be storage units or small rental houses, indicating they are in hiding. Both parents are attorneys, so I guess they know how to hide from the big computer.

Nonetheless, there is a Facebook page called "Latina girl overcomes obstacles to succeed in physics". Right. I am not allowed to access that page, for what are now obvious reasons.

A Pasterski was involved in the faked* Danzig Massacre (Bloody Sunday, 1939) before WWII, though he has been scrubbed from the internet recently. A page used to exist at Stormfront, as we find on a search, but both Google and the Wayback Machine have been scrubbed. Wayback reports an error instead of no page found, which I have never seen. I suspect Waclaw (Vaclav) Pasterski has been scrubbed from history specifically to benefit this Sabrina person. Anyway, Pasterski is a variant of Pasternak, both admitted to be Jewish, Pasterski being the Polish spelling with Pasternak being the Russian/Ukrainian spelling.

I knew she was a fraud as soon as I saw she was at the Perimeter Institute in Canada, a pit of fakery and treasury theft. It is supposed to be a magnet for top names, but she was grabbed right out of her postdoc, for reasons not given. Maybe it was that airplane again. She previously did research at CERN, ditto, and now specializes in celestial holography, a big red flag since that ties into the world-as-a-hologram gaslighting. She allegedly discovered something about spin memory in gravitational waves, but that too is a big fraud, [as I show you here](#). There are no gravitational waves, so her promotion there must tie into the BICEP and LIGO fraud. I call it LIEGO.

We are told Stephen Hawking cited her work in 2016. Let's see, was that the real Stephen Hawking or

[the fake Stephen Hawking](#). It was after 1984, so it must have been the fake one. If you think that is crazy, I remind you that the *Daily Mail* in London, 2nd largest paper in the UK, confirmed it. Take the link.

If you still think I am making it up and that she is the real thing, look here:



Yeah, computer generated images. Why is AI giving her different clothes and hairstyles and pasting her in front of old Feynman blackboards? That is a Feynman diagram, which has nothing to do with celestial holography or gravity waves. But that isn't all:



That heads her Helene and [sd2.org promotion](#), also selling her as the next Einstein. But it is also computer generated. So she is even faker than we have come to expect with physicists.

At Google Scholar she has 21 articles cited since 2014, less than two a year. She has 12 first-author papers since 2020, which again is about two a year. Most of these have very few citations. The one with the most, 330, is her lecture notes on celestial amplitudes for SAGEX in Copenhagen. So that hardly counts. The next most citations, 203, is for an ArXiv preprint, which looks like a short overview of celestial holography, as a beg for funding. A trio of papers on celestial diamonds in 2021 generated a few citations (about 90 each), but after that almost nothing. What are celestial diamonds? Dreamed up “particles” in Conformal Field Theory, which is the usual mathematical morass these people love to sell. It is a huge fudge they use to stretch and fold spacetime, to try to solve problems without first correcting previous mistakes in field theory or unifying in any sensible way. Like String Theory it abuses non-Euclidean math and pulls in every other feint it can find. It hides out in the biggest, spongiest operators it can manufacture and never assigns any variables, so it is infinitely malleable. Basically it is part of a huge make-work project for physicists, to draw funding into an infinite future.

But my point was that her output and citations are feeble, not matching her promotion as the next Einstein. She hasn't solved even one real problem, her job at Perimeter seeming to be to manufacture more new fake math models that they can go round and round with over the next decade. Just for comparison, she has published 21 articles since 2014, while I publish more physics papers than that *each year*. I published 36 last year. I published 81 in 2012 (so you can see why they started promoting

her that year) and 62 in 2013. Since 2005 I have published about 750 physics papers, at 11,000 pages, or 35 books of material. And these aren't lecture notes. They include major solutions [to unification](#), [Bode](#), the [rainbow](#), [comets](#), the [nucleus](#), [superposition](#), [galactic rotation](#), [dark matter](#), [orbits](#), [solid state](#), the [calculus](#), the [fine structure constant](#), the [solar cycle](#), the [charge field](#), and literally hundreds of others, and which include [corrections to Einstein](#) and [Maxwell](#) that make all of Pasterski's work moot.

*Here are your fake images for that, straight from Wiki:



Note the crop marks left in in the second photo, which we have seen in many fake events. Note the

head pasted into the first one, with the guy's mouth off to the side and his hair repainted. The last one is a paste.