Why the Solar Cycles are So Important



by Miles Mathis

February 20, 2026 *Happy Saturn-Neptune Conjunction!*

This is for my art/history site readers, some of whom aren't getting my whole Solar Cycle prediction. Those on my science site generally understand how big this is, but many on my art/history site can't follow my arguments and graphs and so on, and can't see what I have been on about for the past six years. Understandable, so I am here to clarify it for you, helping you to see how momentous this is.

To start with, everything that happens here on the Earth is driven by the Sun. All energy here comes from the Sun, not just sunlight and heat, but all magnetism, electricity, vulcanism, weather, biology, crops, EVERYTHING. All the heat of the Earth comes from the Sun. The mainstream thinks the Earth is hot from an iron core and radionuclides and so on, but it isn't. It is hot because it is recycling charge from the Sun. The Earth is a huge spinning charge engine, pulling energy in from the Sun at its poles, feeding on that energy, and excreting it back out radially in all directions. So you aren't just being heated by Sunlight from above, you are being heated by charge rising from below you. And it all comes from the Sun.

It has been known since the time of Galileo that the Sun has sunspots, which are a sign of its activity, and that the Sun has a repeating cycle of this activity, running about eleven years. Every eleven years on average we have a Solar maximum, with Solar minima being about halfway between those peaks. Sunspots are black dots on the Sun, and they have developed a method of counting them to measure Solar activity. But they are found in white regions, and you could just as easily count up those regions by some method as a measure of activity. See the photograph of the Sun above. Sunspots are found in those white regions.

Until now, no one understood what was causing the Solar Cycles. Mainstream theory has for a long time been that it is something to do with convection currents in the Sun itself. So to predict future

activity, they tend to study past activity, trying to find patterns they can then extrapolate out into the future. That has never worked for them, and all their predictions have been horrible failures. They mostly admit that. Or used to until I came along. It was easy for them to admit it before, since they had no competition. Everyone had been spectacularly wrong, and no harm done. But once I successfully predicted the current one, the mainstream has gone through most of Kubler-Ross' five stages of grief, so far exhibiting noisy bouts of denial, anger, and depression. I haven't witnessed any bargaining, and we still appear to be several years away from acceptance.

More than a century ago, a few specialists in the field noticed that there was a similar cycle of Jupiter-Saturn conjunctions on an 11.5 year period, so they suggested maybe the big planets were causing the Solar Cycles, by some unknown mechanism. But since gravity was the only known force between bodies back then, they couldn't make that work. The forces at those distances weren't large enough, and they didn't follow the patterns in the cycles very well anyway. So they gave up. Since then a few others have tried to make that work, but also with no real success. For that reason, the mainstream decided that simply couldn't be the cause, and they did their usual closing of ranks, ridiculing anyone who worked on it in those terms as an astrologer or other crank.

So that was the state of the problem when I first looked at it in 2014. But as usual I was coming from a whole new direction. I had recently proven that the celestial field was a unified field, meaning it wasn't just gravity. It was gravity + charge/EM. EM meaning electromagnetism, which is based on charge. As it turns out, Newton's famous old gravity equation had been hiding the EM field inside it all along, but no one had ever seen that. And where was it hiding it? Inside the constant G. Yes, an entire second field was hiding inside that one old constant, that no one had ever thought to question. It just sat there unassigned for 300 years, no one asking why it was the number and dimensions it was. But once I pulled it apart, I not only had a unified field, I had a new way of looking at all the old problems. I also had a way of pulling apart all the old equations, writing them as unified field equations instead of gravity-only equations. Meaning, I could take any old equation of Newton, Galileo, Kepler, Copernicus, Lagrange, Laplace, Euler, or anyone else, and show you the part of it that was due to EM.

That is what allowed me to solve the Bode problem as well as the Planetary Tilt problem. By writing these old equations as dual equations where half of them was gravity and half was EM, I was able to explain why the planets were spaced as they are, and why they were tilted as they are. And not just explain it, but rewrite all the equations. No one had ever been able to do that, either. Before I arrived, the mainstream thought planets were tilted due to being hit by asteroids or comets or moons. Actually, they still do, since they are still pretending I don't exist. Denial and anger, you know.

So anyway, I already had that under my belt when I looked at the Solar Cycle problem. Therefore it was easy for me to see that it must be caused not by gravity, but by an EM feedback loop between all four big planets and the Sun. What do I mean by feedback loop? I just mean that there are real, heavy lines of charge running between all bodies, and the planets are influencing one another on those lines. So this isn't astrology or action at a distance. It isn't based just on position. It is based on charge influences, and charge is real photons. Real particles being recycled through the Sun and planets, and running in lines between them, like a very powerful gas. The charge field moves out from the Sun to the planets, they take it in at their poles, recycle it through their bodies, then send it back to the Sun.

The mainstream now knows about this field to a large extent, since it knows about the hugely powerful Solar Wind, plasma fields, Birkeland currents, and many Solar System motions, perturbations, and influences that simply can't be explained by gravity. But it hasn't figured out this field is ubiquitous, or that it can be used to solve these old problems.

There was one other thing I had to figure out to solve this, and it took me a couple more years to bring it all together. I also needed the Galactic Core to solve. You see, the Sun and planets aren't just creating this cycle relative to one another. The cycle creates a sine wave if you graph it, like any other cycle, but then the question becomes, what is the zero-line of that sine wave? Is the Sun the zero-point, or are all these bodies aligning to an outside line. An outside influence. Another way to put that is, is the Sun the source of all this charge? Where is all this energy ultimately coming from? Just as the mainstream thinks the Earth is the source of its own heat in the core, it thinks the Sun is the source of its own heat, by fusion. But it isn't. Yes, the Sun is fusing and creating heat that way, but it requires an outside source in order to start that cycle and to keep it running smoothly. Just as we get all our energy from the Sun, the Sun gets all his energy from the Galactic Core.

What is the Galactic Core? It is huge power plant at the center of the Milky Way galaxy, in the direction of Sagittarius. As the Sun drives the Solar System, the Core drives the galaxy. You can think of it like a trillion Suns all in the same area, or as one gigantic Sun. We don't really know how it sets up, because our telescopes can't penetrate that area. But the important thing is that the Sun gets all his energy in a line coming in from the Core. So the sine wave of the Solar Cycle sets up in relation to that zero point or axis. When the planets align best, they aren't just aligning to the Sun, they are aligning to the Sun/Core line. So you start by drawing a line from the Sun to the Core, then watch when the planets align to that.

If you do that, you can then track the magnetic alignments in the system. This is magnetic, not gravitational, and we now know that because only magnetism works on alignments like this. Gravity doesn't care about these alignments, but magnetism does. You can see that with your refrigerator magnets, which work only when they are in a certain configuration. If you turn them 90 degrees, they stop working as before. This is because magnetism is created by quantum spins (real spinning photons and electrons) and gravity isn't. A pool ball spinning N/S won't act like a pool ball spinning E/W, when it collides, for instance. But you can calculate gravity without knowing anything about spins.

If you didn't understand all of that, it doesn't matter. What matters is that I was able to solve where no one else did because I used EM instead of gravity. Also because I understood how these celestial fields work where no one else did. I had a unified field and unified field equations that actually allowed me to calculate forces between planets and the Sun. In this case, the lines of influence were more important than the actual forces, though it helped to know forces, too.

Now, there are two big reasons this is so important, one having to do with the history of science, and one having to do with the future of humanity. The reason I am publishing this paper on February 20 is that this is the peak of the current Saturn-Neptune alignment. The Core, Sun, Saturn, and Neptune are all in alignment this month, and my theory of Solar Cycles is one of alignment of real charge fields. Which is why I predicted a big spike here six years ago.





The yellow one is Saturn and the trident (pitchfork) is Neptune. The Core is to your left. The second illustration is the chart I published six years ago, predicting a spike this month, as you see below where I have written SN. No one had ever published a prediction like that, with monthly spikes on it and specific numbers in specific months. The mainstream had always just published broad guesses like this:



That was their prediction of the current cycle at its start in 2019. The first part of the graph is the previous cycle, so ignore that. The prediction is to the right: the colored boxes being wide guesses where the red line might go over the next decade. The blue box is where they thought the maximum *might* fall. So they gave themselves a 3-year leeway, and a 35-point leeway. They didn't really have a clue, so they went with the odds and guessed it would follow the previous cycle, being short and weak. Even with that much wiggle room, they were way off, with the cycle going way above that and the maximum being to the right of the blue box.

So it was considered bold to the point of berserking for me to predict monthlies six years in advance. The mainstream hadn't ever been able to predict monthlies even just a few weeks in advance. It wasn't

even necessary, I was told, since even a broad prediction that was better than that of the mainstream should have been enough to make my name. But I knew better: to really prove my theory, I needed to show spikes hitting on planetary conjunctions, so I had to publish a graph like this. There was no other way.

When my first circled point hit in December of 2020, right on the Jupiter-Saturn conjunction, my friends and I did a little dance, but the mainstream only squirmed a bit. It must be a coincidence. No way I was right, they thought. But when the second one hit in April of 2022, right on the next conjunction, the mainstream started to panic. This was bad. Very bad. So bad they called in the Air Force to help them. For the first time in history Air Force took over reporting of sunspots. Why? Why indeed. Only one reason I can think of. This was war and they were there to bury me, by whatever means was necessary. Semper Fi and all that. If that meant falsifying data, fine, they were prepared to do that. I assume NASA and NOAA and SWPC didn't have the cohones to fake data to that extent, since it would require faking numbers by 50-200% over many years. But Air Force didn't have a problem with that. They didn't give two hoots for sunspots and would follow orders. They had done things much worse than this. They had faked a pandemic and a vaccine and a mob at the Capitol: this was nothing compared to that.

They also called in Google and the other big search engines to run interference. It was in 2020 that Google, Yahoo, and Bing began censoring me in earnest. Before that they had just surrounded me with noise, toplisting troll sites above my own, though my papers were superviral, outranking Wikipedia, and these troll sites had no real numbers, being CIA fronts or something. But after this Solar Cycle prediction began hitting, Google and the others accelerated the censorship. They are still doing it. I just did a general search on this prediction at Google, which I haven't done in many years. As expected, their AI bot has been programmed to report only my 2014 paper, and misrepresent it, while ignoring my huge 2020 prediction and paper and all the major confirmations of that over the past six years. The sidebar gloss actually says “2014: in his latest paper, Miles Mathis. . .”. As for the “search engine”, it also blinks out on the past decade, not listing the 2020 goody.pdf paper or any of the papers since, and instead toplisting a 2014 CloudyNights forum, where I am libeled by a lot of nameless trolls. This shows how desperate the mainstream is to bury me, not only on this question of Solar Cycles, but on hundreds of other topics.

But somehow, even with Air Force fudging hugely, they still weren't able to win this war. For one thing, no one told them my prediction was centered on planetary alignments, so they didn't realize they needed to fudge more surrounding those dates, to squash those spikes. As it was, the spikes still appeared on the charts, matching my predictions. Even worse, the cycle turned out to be so strong even fudging by 50% wasn't enough to keep it down to the mainstream prediction. It ran way above predictions even with Air Force sitting on it, still matching my prediction far better than the mainstream. I imagine the mainstream scientists complained to Air Force, and I imagine Air Force said something like:

You bozos should have told us that to start with. You should have told us this was going to require faking baseline data above 100%, in which case we would have told you all to go to hell. What do we care about your feud with this Mathis guy? If you are such bad scientists, maybe you deserve to lose. Did that ever occur to anyone?

After I hit the next three circled points on my graph, Air Force apparently packed up and went back to base, seeing it as a lost cause. But these mainstream people cried so loud and so long, someone ordered Air Force to come back, maybe with a second team. My sixth circled point was coming up this

February, and some of them thought there was one last chance to save the sinking ship. If I missed that prediction, it would jeopardize the whole thing, since it might mean there would be no second peak in the cycle. Even some of my supporters were worried, saying the theory couldn't be said to be finally proven until I hit the second peak, and that depended on hitting that prediction in February. But if I hit that, it was all over. Even a miss in the rest of the cycle wouldn't damage me too much, since there would be no way to argue those six hits—three of them on conjunctions and one of them on the dip in the middle—were accidents.*

So according to the mainstream, I *had* to miss that prediction this month. Air Force was ordered to double their fudging, as we saw on the 12th where they erased 200 spots from the Sun, reporting 85 on a day when there were 285. That more than doubles the amount of faking they were doing in previous years.

Unfortunately I caught them at it, publishing their own photos and showing exactly how they were cheating. Which just makes this all the worse for the mainstream. Not only did they get crushed here by an outsider and artist, they got caught faking numbers by absolutely unprecedented amounts. This makes the whole hockey-puck, climate change fake look like spilled milk.

Even I will admit the story peaked right here this week, since although I no longer had any doubts I was right about the mechanism of the Solar Cycle, I did have some doubts that I could continue to hit these predictions six years out. There was always the possibility I missed something or miscalculated or made some minor error that would snowball in six years, throwing the graph off. This normally happens in science, even when you are right about a theory. It never hits this powerfully the first time out of the garage. Even the best theories stall and sputter in their first forms. If that happened, it would be tragic, because it would give the mainstream the opportunity to ditch the whole theory. If this spike missed, that important second peak might miss with it. But if this spike hit, we would have a second peak regardless. It just hit.

Here is more proof they are faking it, this time by hiding data.

Hinode XRT TiPoly	NSO SOLIS He I 10830 Å	NSO Ca II 8542 Å magnetogram	RISE/PSPT Ca II K	HAO Mk. 4 coronagraph
2025/12/29 05:36	2015/07/16 17:12:46	2017/04/08 17:11:17	2010/12/02 18:59	2024-04-13 :

Other resources for solar imagery and related solar-terrestrial observations:

- The Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) Sun Today site at LMSAL offers recent images, a graphical interface to the Heliophysics Event Knowledgebase (HEK), and EUV light curves
- The Solar Mass Ejection Imager (SMEI) site at NSO offers whole-sky images and animations from the SMEI instrument onboard the Coriolis spacecraft
- The Improved Solar Observing Optical Network (ISOON) site at NSO-Sacramento Peak offers frequently updated, high resolution H-alpha and 6300 Å continuum, and other imagery
- The SOHO "Sun Now" images page
- NOAA Space Weather Prediction Center: recent solar H-alpha images, integrated soft X-ray fluxes, geomagnetic indices
- *CGRO* BATSE solar flare database at the SDAC
- Mt. Wilson Observatory 150-ft Solar Tower: magnetograms, Dopplergrams, and "intensitygrams" in two photospheric lines, as well as white-light sunspot drawings
- Space Environment Information Service of the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (Japan): solar radio spectra, H-alpha images, geomagnetic data, digital ionograms, and a variety of other solar-terrestrial data
- Meudon node of the French *BASS 2000* solar data networked archive serves H alpha, Ca II K1v and K3, THEMIS magnetogram and dopplergram data, Nancay radioheliograms, and several other varieties of solar data.
- The *Yohkoh* Legacy Archive *Yohkoh*/SXT movies page serves downloads in GIF, MPEG, and Javascript movie formats.
- The Mees Solar Observatory of the University of Hawaii Institute for Astronomy, located on top of Haleakala: daily active region map
- The Mauna Loa Solar Observatory (MLSO) of the High Altitude Observatory (HAO): current prominence monitor, He I 10830 Å, and white-light Mk. 4 coronagraph images and movies
- Daily solar 10 cm microwave flux measurements from Penticton, courtesy of the National Research Council (Canada) and National Resources Canada
- Daily solar images and photometric parameters from the San Fernando Observatory, California State University/Northridge
- The Nobeyama Radioheliograph: daily solar images at 17 GHz
- The NSO Sacramento Peak coronal image and synoptic map representations of daily forbidden line observations

That's from NASA, where I get my daily pictures of the Sun. But if you go there and try to take any of those links to "other resources", you find they have been shut down. Most are 404ed and the others are

stagnant or controlled by Air Force. They can't have you finding any real data, so they had to limit reporting to just a few controlled sites. And if you go to SDO, the Solar Observatory, you can't even access old images of the Sun. Or I can't. They don't want you comparing them, I guess.

And why does that matter, other than bragging rights for me? It matters because this is the first big successful prediction in many decades in physics, and it helps immensely that is so visual and unequivocal. Predicting monthly numbers years in the future is an unimaginable coup in the field of physics, and I don't know that it has ever been done. Some of my readers are already saying this is the greatest prediction in the history of physics. And the fact that it concerns the Sun just makes it that much bigger. It isn't just a mathematical coup, like solving Fermat or Goldbach, it is a coup of central science, since it concerns the very light that falls down on our heads on a daily basis.

Another way it is important is that for years I have had to listen to kneejerk reactions from the mainstream and their programmed computers that I haven't made any successful predictions, that I don't address mainstream data, or that I don't compete head-to-head with mainstream theorists. That was always wildly false and libelous, but it just got a thousand times more obviously false. So I shouldn't have to listen to that shit ever again. Though I do and will. These people and their machines are so corrupt, they just ignore everything that has happened and continue to chirp the same talking points. They are like the Black Knight, lying there in a pool of their own blood after I have chopped their arms and legs off, and claiming that nothing has changed. Denial.

And now for the second and most important reason this matters. It matters because it allows us to predict Solar Cycles, not just as a proof of theory, but as a way to predict droughts, floods, hurricanes, eruptions, and future weather patterns. Sunspots used to be reported by SWPC, which means Space Weather Prediction Center. They were never able to predict Space Weather, because you can't predict something you don't understand. To make a prediction, you have to have a mechanism, and they never had that. Space Weather in this part of the cosmos is determined by the Sun, which is determined in large part by Solar Cycles and planetary influences. Space Weather is then the main and first cause of all Terrestrial Weather. So understanding Space Weather will make it possible to understand and predict Terrestrial Weather, allowing us to better prepare for it. Yes, there are other influences, but they all trace back to the Sun, planets, Moon, and Core. The Moon and planets trace back to the Sun, so the only thing not originating in the Sun is the Core, and even that influence comes to us *through* the

Sun. So it would be hard to overestimate the importance of this.

You may think it is horrible I have had to deal with all this lying and cheating, and it is, but in my calmest moments I actually welcome it, because it makes the story so much better. In those moments I can see this as a person would see it years in the future, teaching it to his children. It makes a good story just as a successful prediction and an advance of science, but it makes a much better story as one of wild and corrupt human interaction. From that perspective, you can see that Air Force was doing me a big favor making me a martyr like this. It is far more explosive and memorable that way. Most people don't care much for science, but everyone loves a good story of overcoming the odds.

I know that some will say it is unseemly of me to promote myself like this, and I actually agree. I wish anyone else would do it, though I would call it unfortunate rather than unseemly. But no one else *has* reported on this or anything else I have done in six years or twenty-six years: the mainstream blackballed me long ago in all fields, for reasons that should now be clear to you. Although this is the biggest real news in science in decades, you won't hear of it if you don't hear of it from me. But it may be for the best after all, since no one else could have put it in perspective like I have done for you here. No reporter could have done what I just did, could they? So things work out one way or the other.

*There were actually seven huge hits, since the Jupiter-Uranus conjunction in 2024 also fell on a big spike, confirming the theory even though I accidentally left it off my graph.