# Today's Big Sunspot Cheat from Air Force

*by Miles Mathis*

*July 1, 2026*

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 14478 | 2026.06.23<br>2026.06.24 | 33 | 95 | 51 | S05W06 | 1280 | FKI | FKC |  |
| 14479 | 2026.06.23<br>2026.06.24 | 27 | 83 | 50 | N16W24 | 0520 | EKI | EKC |  |
| S12172 | 2026.06.24 | | | | S05W31 | | | | |
| 14480 | 2026.06.26<br>2026.06.27 | 6 | 11 | 8 | S18W30 | 0040 | CSO | CAO |  |
| S12176 | 2026.06.27 | | 20 | 10 | S15W31 | 0080 | | DRI |  |

That's a partial list of today's regions, and you can see the distortion for yourself. The first region should be two regions, adding ten off the top. And 33 is a gross miscount of those spots. I estimate 180, so not even close. If you don't see how I did that, it is easy here, since they give you about the correct weighting of each spot in the third region, 14480. They count that as six where I would count it as 7, but either way you can then compare it to the first region, using one region to measure the other. Each of those little spots in 14480 weighs two, right? So insert one of those spots into the first region, and see how many you have. For their number 33 to be correct, you would have to find only 16 of your 2-spots fitting there. But you can tell at a glance that isn't even close. No, it is about 90. Same thing in the second region, 14479, where you could fit way more than 13 of your 2-spots. More like 70, giving us 140 there instead of 27.

And what happened in the last region,  S12176?  They can't see those spots there?  I see at least eight, with ten for the region, giving us another 18.  The basic rule there is that if the little spot is blue or red, you don't count it, but if it is black, you count it as one or more.  Several of those are definitely black.

So they just erased about 350 spots in those four regions.



That's a photo of the Sun today, showing you the incredible size and power of those areas.  Those are the areas that generate the spots, and you see a further one on the left limb they have undercounted as well.  They like to ignore those.  But wait, there is another mismatch there.  They reported just two big areas, but we see three there, ignoring the two on the limbs.



So yeah, it looks like we caught them in another one.  They have erased most of 14480, haven't they? You will tell me that the red Sun image doesn't equate directly to sunspots, it being photographed in Helium, but all the other images in Iron also show a super active region 14480.



In fact, that is the most active region on the Sun. And we see more evidence of tampering in the second photo.  Look at the brightest spot on the blue Sun.  It is in region 14478, near or below the biggest spot reported, but the image has been scratched out with vertical scratches.  Very weird.  That white spot also looks unnatural in the yellow Sun.

They know I am writing this paper as I write it, since that blue Sun image was censored moments later, as this was in progress.  It now looks like that on the NASA site where I got it.  I am borrowing these images (legally) in real time, so they are linked to the internet until I save a PDF.

So let's check the Continuum+C IV image:



That also looks tampered with, both in 14478 and 14480.  That image also changed as I was writing this, with a large white spot in 14478 suddenly disappearing.

And now that we have all these images in our eyes, we can ask why they are failing to report the region on the left limb, which is also incredibly powerful.  Solen.info is running yesterday's map, to hide it, but that region should have been visible yesterday as well.  In fact, I can prove that by going to yesterday's image at SDO:




That region should not be ignored either today or yesterday, and we see there that region 14475 (right limb) is also being underweighed. The official report gives us 17 spots from that region, but we would expect many more than that from these photos. So they erased at least 400 spots today and probably more.