


Sunspot Fake September 18, 2026



by Miles Mathis


October 12, 2026

This is part of an ongoing exposé of falsified sunspot reports from Air Force, NASA, SDO, SWPC, and other entities. In their desperation to suppress sunspots, the mainstream, led by Air Force and SWPC, reported zero sunspots again on September 18. I missed the original report so I had to wait until the end of the month for September's reports to be archived. Solen didn't archive their September numbers until October 12, so they seem to have seen me coming. By now, why wouldn't they?



Some are still pretending not to be able to follow my analyses, so I thought I would try something different today. Those two images above are straight from the [Solar Dynamics Observatory](http://sdo.gsfc.nasa.gov), so they are the mainstream's own images. I did nothing to them. The first is from September 18, 2026, and they are reporting zero sunspots. "A quiet sun." But that isn't a quiet sun. The second one is a quiet sun. That is from December 5, 2017, during solar minimum. Do you see a little difference there?

That by itself proves we are getting wildly falsified solar activity reports. Either that or it proves that sunspot counts are not a good way to measure solar activity or strength. I am telling you it is the former. If these people were honestly reporting activity, using the old metrics, in the way I have shown you, sunspots would remain a good way to measure activity. It worked for many cycles across the 20th and 21st centuries, because the method was fairly consistent. It stopped working when Air Force took over counts at the beginning of this cycle and began suppressing numbers by fantastic amounts. All to hide my successful prediction of this cycle.

Finally, here is the schematic Solen published back on September 18:






They list 14 active regions, but give pictures for only six, and tag only six in that schematic. They list only one on the right limb, as you see, and none on the left limb. Doesn't match the photo from SDO above, does it?




We see at least two very active areas on each limb, and each one should *large* yield spots. We don't see any regions in the center that would yield large spots, but we would expect small spots in many places,

most obviously above the corona hole in S12366 and S12369.

We can check that on the right limb by seeing what was reported the next day.


S12371	2026.09.19		2	1	N03E47	0005	BXO	
S12372	2026.09.19		1	1	S13E78	0050	HSX	
S12373	2026.09.19		1	1	N12E83	0015	HRX	

As you see, they publish photos of spots in three new regions, but then fail to report them, as if they are blind. And we know from the SDO photos those regions are much more active than that.




That's from the 19th. Showing how hot those regions are. They didn't subside overnight as they became more Earth-facing, as you are led to believe by Air Force. So there should not only be spots there, as we can see for ourselves there are in their own diagrams, they should be big.

Air Force only reports one spot on the 19th, in region S06W39, which Solen tells us was formerly S12367. But that region isn't even on the schematic for that day, by either designation:





So where is it? And we see again how Air Force is playing cat and mouse with these regions.

From the actual photo from SDO above, we can tell they have completely missed the active area above the coronal hole, above S12366, which becomes huge on the 20th.



But Air Force reports only 14 spots in four regions on the 20th, publishing obvious pictures of black spots in S12362 and 66, but failing to see them or count them. It also pretends to see only one in each of those regions on the left limb, while publishing photos that prove otherwise:

14533	2026.09.19 2026.09.20	1	2	2	S14E64	0030	HSX	CSO	
14535	2026.09.19 2026.09.20	1	4	2	N12E73	0020	HRX	CSO	

Those aren't one-spots. At least five and three, depending on how much they have shrunk the images. And of course the schematic for those regions still doesn't match the photo from SDO, which indicates huge activity, especially in 14533.

In this way, Air Force was able to suppress spots by about 100% until the 22nd, when they went through the roof. But even then they only reported a sunspot number of 126, when it should have been at least 220 according to their own pictures.