


Sunspot Fraud 2/12/26

by Miles Mathis

I am cataloging the huge fraud going on right now in sunspot reportage because we are in the Saturn/Neptune alignment which hits maximum in about eight days. So the misreporting of sunspot numbers is especially heinous at this time. The huge spike we are seeing in real numbers should be the latest proof of [my Solar Cycle theory and prediction](#) from six years ago, but instead we see the mainstream, led by US Air Force, doing its best to suppress those numbers, making it appear there has been only a modest increase since October. When in fact we have seen precisely the big spike I predicted six years ago, arriving just when I said it would and confirming all my other successes since February 2020.




This is doubly and trebly horrible for the mainstream, because it also confirms my prediction that we would see a big second peak in this cycle, one they never saw coming. For the past year they have been claiming this Cycle 25 has already peaked, and that we are now on the downhill side. While I have been the only one in the world maintaining we would see a big second peak. I have been telling them that for six years, but no one listened. Other than my colleague in the Netherlands Steven Oostdijk and some of my readers, no one thought it possible my bold prediction would pan out, since it was so singular, looking nothing like previous cycles. Not only was it very large and long when the mainstream was predicting very small and short, it had widely separated peaks with a big dip in the middle. This separation of peaks makes this cycle a big outlier, hugely lowering the odds I would be right. No one who didn't know exactly what was causing Solar Cycles would ever make such an unlikely prediction. But I was very confident in my mechanism and my math, since it had allowed me to solve [many big problems](#) even before I got to this one.

The mainstream has long since admitted it was very wrong about Cycle 25, since it has run way above all their predictions from the beginning. But they have been desperately trying to save face for several years now, using all the known gambits, including ignoring me, attacking me personally, and lying about my theory and prediction. They have also misdirected into other theories: anything to get eyes


off me. But this fudging of data by Air Force is by far the most dishonest, as well as the most transparent.

Despite my huge successes in prediction from 2020 to 2025, hitting all the previous Jovian alignments on the nose, as well as the big dip in January 2025, the mainstream was hoping beyond hope that I would be wrong about the second peak. Had I been wrong about that, they would have done their best to bury the previous six years of spot-on predictions, claiming I had just gotten lucky over and over and over. But that hope is now gone. And they know that. They know how things stand. They know the numbers are being faked. And they can see with their own eyes, like you do, that the real numbers are very high right now. They will be even higher in a few days when those spots on the left limb come fully into view and can no longer be erased. But it doesn't matter because the numbers have already gone skyhigh as of nine days ago, hitting dailies of 400. So the Saturn-Neptune spike already hit and there is no changing that.


I will have more to say on that after February 20, but for now let's go to the mainstream data from the past few days.




Those are pictures of the Sun from Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, and you can see there is a lot of activity. Sunspots are in those active areas, so you would expect to find sunspots reported from all of them, the only question being how many and how big is each one? Bigger ones count more than small ones. The thing is, most of the activity right now is on the limbs, meaning on the left and right edges of the Sun. Those spots are somewhat harder to photograph and weigh, since we are looking at them from an angle, due to the curvature of the Sun. So what the Air Force is doing right now to keep numbers down is just ignore all those regions on both limbs.




That is from Solen.info, which publishes mainstream numbers. Consult the orange-red line there, which is the official reported sunspot number. They are telling us the number has been falling fast over the past week, now being about 85. To corroborate that fake, they publish this schematic of the Sun:



Where it looks like there is very little activity. The mainstream is using schematics like that to claim a calm and quiet Sun. But compare them side by side:



What's the first thing you notice? Well, to start with, they are still publishing yesterday's schematic, 2/11, on 2/12. Why would they do that? I will tell you: they need to bury all that activity on the left limb, like in region 14375, and that was somewhat easier to do yesterday, before it rotated fully into view. So they use yesterday's cheat today as well. Both today and yesterday, they indicate that region on the big schematic, but forgot to report it in the close-up pics:




As you see, no region 14375. Erased. From the blue sun photo, you can tell 14375 should be much larger than 14374 next to it, so something like 50 spots. With ten for the region, that is about 60 erased from the number already.

They have a different trick with region 14374, also near the left limb. Look how small the image is! They have miniaturized that region compared to the one above it, haven't they? Why? To shrink down the apparent size of the spot. If they published it the right size, you would see it should be


counted as about 35, not 5. Erasing another 30 from the count.

Now let's look at 14373, the big one in the middle of the Sun. How will they fake that one?







Well, not by miniaturizing it, which is refreshing. Instead, they publish it full-size, where you can see how big it is, but then count it as only two! What? How can 14371 (pictured right above it) be counted as 1, but 14373 is counted as 2? It is at least 30 times as large, as a matter of black coverage. So they have erased another 28.

And what about 14371? That's the big region lower right, so let's find it on the blue Sun today.





As you can see, that is a very active region, with big white spots on the actual photo, so why does the mainstream schematic treat it like it is almost invisible, with only one tiny spot? I am guessing they erased at least another 30 there.

And all those others on the right limb? They haven't rotated out of sight yet. But the Air Force treats them with the usual disdain:

14366	2026.01.29 2026.01.30	2	1	N15W90	0100	HAX	
14367	2026.01.31 2026.01.31	1	3	1	N09W70	0010 HRX	
14368	2026.01.31 2026.01.31	1	1	1	S10W67	0080 HSX	
14369	2026.01.31 2026.02.01	1	2	1	S03W63	0080 HSX	

It miniaturizes the last three regions, counting two large spots as one each, when they should be about ten each. Erasing another 29.


What else? Well, what about that region in the middle below 14373? We can see in the blue Sun that region is very active.

S11843	2026.02.09			S23E02			
S11844	2026.02.10	3	1	S19W83	0010	BXO	
S11845	2026.02.10	2	1	S12W04	0010	BXO	


Again, miniaturized and then ignored, although you can see for yourself there is a spot there. Also a spot above it in S11844—the lower region on the right limb. So another 22 erased.

Add them up: that's about 200 erased in one day, using a whole bag of tricks. Taking the sunspot number up from the reported 85 to 285. And that isn't even the highest in the past couple of weeks, since I found around 400 in my last paper from 2/3.

But that isn't the only big problem the mainstream has right now. If we return to their longterm chart




we see that the Wolf number has risen about 60 points since April of 2025, *after* they declared maximum had already been hit in mid-2024 (which happened to coincide with the Jupiter-Uranus conjunction, but they pretend that didn't happen). I have long predicted a monthly of 190 for right now, but their own line—the pink one above—is at 160, even with all this fudging and faking of numbers, which doesn't match their very latest predictions from just a few months ago. They were giving us dotted lines falling off toward 100 for early 2026.



Remember that? That's from my paper in November, responding to the anonymous mainstream trolls. My opponent OR is publishing the latest mainstream predictions there as the dotted red lines, which as you see are quickly dropping off toward 100 in both predictions. So as much as Air Force is faking data and erasing spots, it isn't erasing enough to save the mainstream predictions of just three months ago. The mainstream needed a falling line since April 2025, but their own charts show a rising one. Same for flux, which is also rising in that period. We also see a general rise since November, which shouldn't be happening according to recent mainstream predictions.

But as I reminded you in that paper, OR's blue line isn't even correct for 2025, since he has it averaging about 120 for the year. That isn't what was reported by the mainstream, as you can see from the chart at Solen.info (pink line again). The peaks were hitting 170 and 160, just as I predicted six years ago, falling off a bit after October, just as I predicted six years ago. Rising again in December, just as I predicted six years ago. Which is why I said Air Force was on a bit of a break in 2025, not going to much effort to show I was wrong. But they apparently received an important memo recently, to address this Saturn-Neptune alignment, which the mainstream saw a huge threat to them. Rightly. It is the final nail in their coffin.

Update 2/13: The mainstream is reporting just **twelve spots** today, claiming the Sun is very quiet.



Is that how it looks to you? Even if we erase all that major activity on both limbs, pretending it isn't there, we have at least 13 major active areas, those being the big white spots where we would expect to find at least one spot. You can then estimate at a glance at least nine other lesser active areas which probably also contain spots. The mainstream is reporting only eight active areas total.