






Sunspot Fake for July 30, 2026






by Miles Mathis

You can already see the fake from the two graphics above. The first is from Solen.info, the second from SDAC, the Solar Observatory at NASA.gov. You can see all the activity on the actual photo, while in the schematic Solen, following Air Force, is trying to erase as many sunspots as possible. I have caught them at it hundreds of times over the past six years and I will do it again today.




As you see just from comparing those graphics, the sunspots are in the active areas, so although the active areas are in white and the spots are black, they match up very well. You could estimate sunspots very well just from the white areas, though they prefer you not figure that out. But given that, you can tell that they are erasing the most sunspots on the limbs, as usual, since that is the easiest way for them to do it. I guess they are hoping you will think those don't count, or count less because they are emitting to the side, but they count the same as any others. It is obvious they have erased many on the right limb, in the regions they have tagged 14494, 95, and 97. So let's check the close-up images:

14492	2026.07.18 2026.07.19	3	8	3	N16W61	0090	HSX	CKO	
14497	2026.07.20 2026.07.26	1	2	1	S19W62	0010	AXX	BXO	
14494	2026.07.20 2026.07.21	1	24	8	S03W42	0040	HSX	CSO	
S12239	2026.07.21				S17W53				
14495	2026.07.22 2026.07.23	1	1	1	S02W53	0010	CRO	HRX	

The inset photo for 14494 doesn't match the photo from NASA, since it has almost no activity. There should be spots in all those bright white areas, but we only get one small spot. And it's even worse for 14495 and 97, since they zoom in and cut off most of those regions. Why would they do that? Why not show us the rest of the region? I will tell you why: they are hiding many spots in those areas. They have a different trick for 14492, counting each spot as one, for a total of 3. But those are large spots and they should be weighted to size. See how much bigger they are than the one in 14497? The total for that should be about 20, not 3, so they have just erased at least 17 from the number there.

14496	2026.07.22 2026.07.23	1	9	4	N05W10	0060	HSX	CSO	
S12245	2026.07.24				N03W00				
S12246	2026.07.25				S23W25				
S12247	2026.07.25				N18W23				
S12248	2026.07.26		2	1	N06E08	0005		AXX	
14498	2026.07.27 2026.07.27	11	19	14	N14E50	0140	DAI	DKC	

14496 is even worse, isn't it? That spot is huge, being about 30. And how could that be one when the big one below it in 14498 is 11? Yes, there are about five more little ones there, but not 10 more. That region should be about 40 total. So they erased 58 there. And where are the inset photos for the other three regions in the middle? You will say they are empty. Yes, but S12248 is also empty, and we get a photo there. So they must be hiding more spots there.

14499	2026.07.27 2026.07.28	8	22	14	N04E18	0030	CRO	DRI	
S12251	2026.07.27		2		N07W25	0003		AXX	
14500	2026.07.28 2026.07.29	2	8	6	N18E58	0010	CRO	CRI	
14501	2026.07.28 2026.07.29	5	27	14	S09E16	0020	DRO	DRI	

More miscounts. 14499 should be 18, not 8, and 14501 should be 14, not 5. 14500 should be 5 not 2. So add 22.

Are we finished? Not even close. Going back to the big images, we see that S12256 has huge activity,

but it isn't even listed. Same for S12248. We can see that 14497 has far more activity than a single spot, and so does 14495. And we see that 14498 has two big active areas, not just one. So one of those has been erased. Although it is difficult to estimate with all this fraud going on, I would guess another 80 spots have been erased at least.

And then we have to recount regions, all of which add ten to the total. Air Force is reporting nine regions and 33 spots, for a total of 123. But I have shown you they have ignored at least six regions, so the actual total should be in the range of 345. A miss of almost 200%. An absolute travesty.