




# MORE PROOF SUNSPOT NUMBERS ARE BEING FAKED

*by Miles Mathis*




Those two figures were published on November 11 by Solen.info, and they are all we need here. The first image is based on an actual photo of the Sun. The second is a schematic, showing you active areas on the Sun and the areas of listed sunspots. So the maps should match up pretty well. You will tell me the first photo is dated ten days earlier, but I think that date has been faked. Why do I think that? Because several important areas match up almost exactly. See 12895 and 12893. The Sun is spinning pretty fast to your right, so after 10 days those areas should move noticeably. Instead, we see those sunspots in the same place.

But if Solen *is* publishing photos that predate their schematics by ten days, you have to ask why. Why not match the dates? I am telling you why: when comparing these diagrams to their sunspot counts, they don't want you to look at the photo, they want you to look at the schematic, which they publish larger and closer to the numbers. Because you should find it strange that those areas 12895 and 12893 match, but the schematic shows zero activity in the fourth (SE) quadrant while the photo shows heavy activity in two areas there. Plus, [we can go back to the schematic](#) and numbers for October 31, and once again we find a fudge. The photo they are publishing is dated 14 days earlier, and the schematic for that date shows very low activity in that quadrant, contradicting the photo for October 31.



There are several numbers in that quadrant, yes, but if we check the sunspot numbers, we find only one listed in the entire quadrant. I remind you again what the actual photo looks like for that day:



One sunspot in that entire quadrant? Even at this resolution, I would guess about 60. Remember, larger ones count as more than one, and we can see many large ones there. I see about ten active areas on the entire Sun, and around 120 spots, which would give us a number of 220. But the mainstream counts only 5 active areas and 33 spots, giving a number of 83. They did that by simply subtracting out that active 4<sup>th</sup> quadrant, as you see. They are doing that everyday, constantly faking the numbers by about 2.5x.

Why? Well, the Air Force took over reporting of sunspots last year, and I believe it is to answer me. I have a very popular and well researched theory of Solar Cycles going back to 2014, but in 2020 I finalized that theory with many tables of data. My papers rank at the top of general websearches on that topic and have for many years. This proved I was right and the mainstream wrong, making me the first person in history to predict a Solar Cycle or to figure out the mechanism. Rather than admit that, the top dogs ordered their scientists to start faking incoming data, to try to make it look like I was not right. And so you see the mess above. I don't know how they thought I wouldn't notice that, but since this is all they have, they go with it. They can't do real science, so propaganda and faked data are all they have. And when they lose, they call in the military.