

[return to updates](#)

# IS THE ELECTRIC UNIVERSE CONTROLLED OPPOSITION?



*Schwartz, Talbott, Shermer, Thornhill*

*by Miles Mathis*


*First published May 1, 2018*

I will lay all my cards on the table and admit that this question has been brewing in the back of my head for years. Furthermore, I will admit the question first arose due to their treatment of me. Given the amazing nuggets I have discovered in their own fields, it always seemed to me they would embrace me as their greatest ally. Instead they have ignored me or attacked me. I have been told they didn't attempt an alliance for many reasons, including my early refusal to bow properly to their founders like Talbott and Thornhill, my inability to agree with them on everything, and my promotion of theories outside their bounds, such as  $\pi i=4$  and many others. Trumping all that was the fact that I was finding bigger nuggets in their fields than they were, which is always hard to come to terms with in a competitive world. Seeing these reasons as possible, I dropped the question. I like to work alone and don't really require allies, so the question wasn't worth losing sleep over.

However, I recently returned to the question, and here is why. I was watching some videos on Youtube of Stephen Crothers, when I noticed a Thunderbolts video in the sidebar called "[Michael Shermer meets the Electric Universe](#)". It is an 18-minute video narrated by David Talbott, and I have to admit it made my blood run a bit cold. Talbott doesn't stray too far off the beam in the video, but the title alone is enough to make us ask the question in my title. Why would the EU invite Michael Shermer to their 2015 conference and buddy up to him in sessions? Just study the photo above, and all the smiling faces. If it doesn't make you a bit sick, you aren't fully awake. Not only was Shermer invited to attend, he was paid to speak. What could Shermer possibly have to say that any EU attendee would wish to hear? As it turned out, nothing.

Not to beat around the bush, Shermer is scum. He is an obvious Intelligence asset placed in these “skeptical societies” to blackwash the truth, spread disinfo, and protect his masters at the top of various fields. His work at *Scientific American* and other places is so far from scientific it isn't even worth addressing. He should be shunned by all honest people. He proved that by doing his normal sub-collegiate hatchet job on the Thunderbolts for *Scientific American* soon after the conference. Since that could have been predicted, we have to ask who invited him and why? From this alone, we can tell that either 1) The EU has been infiltrated, and that the mole is someone near the top, having the power to invite Shermer, 2) The EU has been controlled opposition from the beginning. You might prefer 1), but after doing more research, I have bad news for you. The answer is definitely 2).

Why? Many reasons, but we will only have to look at only a few to decide the question. As is known, EU has been connected to Immanuel Velikovsky since the beginning. Velikovsky was still alive in the 1970s when Talbot and Thornhill started all this. They actually spent time with him. Although I have read Velikovsky and at one time took him semi-seriously, I have come to realize he has red flags all over him. But none of these warning signs really lit up until I wrote [my recent paper on Halton Arp](#). Realizing Arp was probably controlled opposition reminded me these others might be as well, so I looked into it. Like Arp, Velikovsky tells you a few interesting truths before leading you off out into the bushes. So keep that in mind. I am not saying Velikovsky is completely wrong. Neither are the Thunderbolts. Obviously, they are correct that the Solar System is not gravity-only. It is when you get past that that everything starts to fall apart.



But back to the beginning, and Velikovsky. Back when I was reading him in college, his bio was pretty sparse and—to state it baldly—fudged. At that time, I had the impression he was a librarian, working in some big library where he had a lot of time on his hands. He discovered all he did by doing independent reading. In other words, he was some kind of outsider. But now we find that isn't quite the way of it. Turns out he was from an extremely wealthy Russian-Jewish family, and he was a founder of Hebrew University in Jerusalem. In other words, he was a prominent Zionist, in on the ground floor of the creation of Israel. While living in Berlin, Velikovsky edited and published the *Scripta Universitatis atque Bibliothecae Hierosolymitanarum*, and he worked with Einstein, who wrote the volume on physics and mathematics. Velikovsky then lived in Palestine for 15 years (up to 1939) working as a psychiatrist. He was a second-generation student of Freud, studying directly under Freud's pupil Wilhelm Stekel in Vienna. Although we are later told Velikovsky was *persona non grata* in academia, he nonetheless lived in Princeton from 1952, just off-campus. Curiously, Einstein lived nearby. Also curious is that this outsider who was supposedly shunned by the mainstream had his first book on these topics published by Macmillan, one of the top mainstream publishers. He got very positive reviews in 1950 in *Harper's* and *Reader's Digest*! As part of what now looks like a manufactured controversy, astronomer Harlow Shapley demanded Macmillan drop Velikovsky, but—

strangely—the book was simply transferred to Doubleday, which was even larger than Macmillan. By 1947, Doubleday was the largest imprint **in the world**, selling 30 million books a year. Is this how outsiders are normally treated? As a real outsider, I can tell you it is not. He was invited to lecture to record crowds at universities across the US. Again, is that how it normally works? No. As usual, we are being sold a contradiction: one moment Velikovsky is *persona non grata* in academia and the next he is lecturing to record crowds **at the universities**. It can't be both ways, since academia IS the universities. They are one and the same. This continued into the 1970s, when the CBC in Canada aired a one-hour special on Velikovsky and the BBC created their own half-hour documentary. For an alleged outsider and outcast, he seems to have gotten a lot of mainstream promotion, didn't he?

Velikovsky's daughter is named Ruth Sharon. Does that link us to Ariel Sharon? The link has been scrubbed, but I would assume so. None of the biographies bother to tell you where she got that name, and [velikovsky.info](http://velikovsky.info) misdirects mightily. There, we are told she was married to a Dr. Seed, but no mention of where the name Sharon came from. Best guess is it is her first husband's name, scrubbed because he was a cousin of Ariel. In support of that, Ruth's son (Immanuel's grandson) is named Rafael Sharon. So his father must have been a Sharon. For more support, we find that Immanuel's uncle was [Moshe Halevy](#), Director of the Israel Theatre Ohel. Why is that support? Because Ariel Sharon's Mossad Director was [Efraim Halevy](#), of the same family. All these people are closely related. I will be told that Ariel Sharon's real name was Scheinerman, not Sharon. So, maybe Ruth Sharon's husband's name was also originally Scheinerman. If one ancestor changed the name, all descendents would share the change, wouldn't they? We are told that Ariel's family Hebraized the name when they moved to Palestine in 1922. Well, Ruth's husband's family probably did the same, didn't they? Ruth's personal website is linked from her page at [velikovsky.info](http://velikovsky.info), but it is apparently defunct. The link is broken.

Also curious is that Velikovsky was allowed to stay in the US in 1939. He appears to have had no problem getting permanent resident status. This reminds us he was able to skip both wars as if they never happened. This is especially curious regarding the First World War, since he was 19 when it started and was in Russia. Instead of being drafted or enlisting in 1914, he entered the University of Moscow to study medicine.

All these facts taken together strongly indicate Velikovsky was a major spook, running a prominent and long-running campaign of opposition control. More indication in the same line is spook Carl Sagan's backhanded promotion of him in the 70s and 80s, in his books and in *Cosmos*. Ask yourself why Sagan would take the time to mention Velikovsky at all. We can be sure that Sagan sent many new readers to Velikovsky to see what all the fuss was about. In fact, that is how I got to Velikovsky. I read *Broca's Brain* and *The Dragons of Eden* in high school (late 1970s), and got to Velikovsky that way.

So it looks as if Velikovsky—like Graham Hancock now and Ignatius Donnelly back in the 1880s—was no threat to the establishment, since he was one of them. All were and are promoted to serve up popular alternative theories that seem to question the establishment while doing them no real harm and threatening their hegemony in no real way. These theories are long on colorful historical examples, but never get around to addressing any specific problems. No one ever takes the time to slog through mainstream equations like I have, for instance, showing the specific errors and correcting them line by line. Instead, we have airy proposals which—although sometimes containing interesting ideas—never go anywhere. The proposals stay in the same state for decades, and no one in any century ever gets around to proving them or disproving them. That by itself is very curious.

But, you may ask, why would anyone need to “control the opposition”, and why would they do it by promoting Velikovsky? For the same reason they create and promote any other opposition: to prevent

you from discovering any truth. As we have seen in my papers on both sites, the truth was classified a long time ago as something too dangerous for normal people. Therefore, they create a mainstream version of everything which is a believable fiction, and pound it into you from the crib. But they know that some people will gag on this fiction, eventually seeing through it. So they have to create a second fiction for these people. To do this, they test the wind, to see exactly what people aren't buying. They then create a second story, and in the first chapters of that story they tell you what you already know: much of the mainstream story is garbage. In this way they hook you into the alternative version. Because they have admitted the mainstream is wrong, you trust them as an ally. They then lead you back out into the bushes, and you are lost for another few decades. By the time you figure out the second con, you are too old to do anything about it.

I know how this con works because I have uncovered it many times before. As just one example, see [my paper on Tom Wolfe](#) on my art site. I show there that he was misdirecting realist artists like me for decades, with his book *The Painted Word* and later articles. His project fooled me for a long time.

It now looks to me like the Thunderbolts are just a continuation of the old Velikovsky con. They hook you by admitting what you already know: the upper levels of the mainstream are composed of a bunch of liars and frauds, and textbook physics is little more than an embarrassing edifice of fudged math and bad theory. Using real plasma physics as ballast, they then cobble together an electric universe replacement for the old tinkertoy gravity model, and you feel like you have made some progress. But your progress is illusory, because the Thunderbolts were created to fail. Not only are their theories shallow and extremely limited, but they are purposely created to self-destruct upon any serious reading. Compared to me, these guys are one-trick ponies, who keep publishing the same ten sentences over and over. In 40 years, they haven't solved a single actual problem. Conversely, in less than half the time, I have solved hundreds of major problems in physics back to the time of Euclid. While these bozos are wasting their time in conferences and chatrooms and Youtube videos, I am solving new problems, doing all the math and theory from the ground up. If you don't like the way that sounds, tough. That is the way it is and you are going to have to get used to it.

Let's just go through a short list, as proof of that assertion. Have the Thunderbolts solved [Bode's problem](#)? No. Have they solved the [Metonic Cycle](#), doing all the math? No. Have they corrected [Mercury's perihelion](#), doing all the math? No. Have they shown the cause of the 4% error in Relativity? No. Have they corrected the [foundations of the calculus](#)? No. Have they [diagrammed the nucleus](#)? No. That was me. Have they [proved that dark matter is charge](#)? No. Have they created a [quantum spin equation](#) that unifies all the quantum particles? No. Have they redefined all of [plate tectonics as a function of charge](#)? No. Have they calculated the [heat of the Earth](#) using charge? No. Have they [pulled apart the Lagrangian](#), showing it is a Unified Field Equation? No. Have they [explained superposition](#) with simple diagrams and math? No. Have they explained the [orbital ellipse](#)? No. Have they [rewritten the Raleigh](#) equation? No. Have they resolved the [vacuum catastrophe](#)? No. Have they corrected [Bohr's equations](#), the [Schrodinger equation](#), the [Rutherford equation](#), the [Coulomb equation](#) or the [Balmer equation](#)? No. That was me. Have they corrected the [Stefan-Boltzmann equation](#) or the Avogadro equation? No. Have they destroyed the strong force, [asymptotic freedom](#), and [all of quark theory](#)? No. Have they unwound the [fine structure constant](#)? No. Have they shown the physical cause of [eccentricity](#), [axial tilt](#), [tides](#), the [rising of sap](#), [galactic rotation](#), [solar cycles](#), the [Saturn anomaly](#), the icecaps on Mercury, the burning atmosphere of Uranus, the [brightness of Enceladus](#), and [the shine of comets](#)? No. Again, that was me. Have they rewritten all of solid state physics, overturning the [Drude-Sommerfeld](#) model, the [Anderson model](#), and everything else? No. Have they rewritten the models and equations of [nuclear magnetic resonance](#)? No. Have they utterly redefined the [Stark effect](#), the [Hall Effect](#), the [Sagnac Effect](#), the [Coriolus Effect](#), the Dynamical


[Casimir Effect](#), the [Allais Effect](#) and the [Meisner Effect](#)? No. Have they rewritten the [Klein-Nishina Formula](#)? No. Did they out [Hawking as a fraud](#) and an impostor? No.

According to the [bios posted online](#), Thornhill has published 10 articles since 2000. Talbott has published 15 articles since 1984. Since 2000, I have published roughly 500 science articles\*, many of them ranking on the front page of Google on a search on that topic. Which leads us to ask, who exactly are these guys? I had assumed they were plasma physicists, which is what they want us to think, I guess, but they aren't. According to Talbott's bio, he has a Bachelor of Science, but we aren't told which science that is. It could be a BS in Economics for all we know. Thornhill has a BS in physics and engineering, we are told. But I couldn't discover that he had ever worked as a physicist or engineer in any subfield. According to his bio he has been promoting Velikovsky since 1974, which is 44 years ago. How is that a paying job? You will say I have a BA in Philosophy and Latin, so how can I point the finger? Well, I'm not pointing at that, I am pointing at the fact we know so little of these guys. I have an extensive bio posted, and everyone knows that I support myself as a portrait artist. Besides, I have not been promoting a spook like Velikovsky for 44 years. I am completely independent. I have mentioned Velikovsky a couple of times in passing, but I certainly don't bow to him in any way. I do think there is evidence Venus came into the system late, explaining her odd numbers, but not in historical times. The orbits are pretty well settled now, which they wouldn't be after just a few thousand years. But I don't even wish to get into all that, since my readers know I am not interested in those questions—which is why I almost never refer to them. A thousand other questions seem more pressing to me, and those are the questions I have written about. These larger questions about earlier times in the Solar System or Galaxy can't even begin to be answered until we debug all our basic physics and math equations. For the same reasons I refuse to be diverted into the first moments of the universe or the interior of a Black Hole, I also refuse to be diverted into this popular catastrophism. Yes, these questions are somewhat more sexy, which is why people can be diverted into them, but they are far more squishy. We simply don't have the data or tools to answer them, for the most part. Using the naïve single-field celestial mechanics we had when I entered the fray in 2000, there was no hope of answering any question about earlier states of anything. The field was a mess, composed mostly of bluster and fudge.

But back to the Thunderbolts. Who is this guy Gary **Schwartz** (see photo under title)? He also looks like a spook. He graduated from Harvard and was the director of the Yale Psychophysiology Center for 12 years, and is now the director of LACH at University of Arizona. He is most famous for his work on parapsychology, including contacting the dead. Among his research projects are Quantum Holographic Consciousness and Otherworldly Spiritual Consciousness. He tests mediums, and has been involved with the TV programs *Crossing Over* and *Medium*. He is not a skeptic, and promotes this stuff. You will say we should keep an open mind about this, and I agree. However, I find it very suspicious to see him on the dais at EU. To me, he looks like a transparent continuation of the old Theosophy projects, and the Harvard psych projects of Tim Leary and Ram Dass. In other words, more misdirection. Besides, what does any of this have to do with an Electric Universe? It looks to me like Schwartz was also brought in to blackwash EU, making it look more and more like a new-age gathering. Do you think mainstream physicists are going to take seriously a conference led by a guy like that?

If Talbott and Thornhill are running a longterm project, we should be able to connect them to everyone else I have outed over the past decade. And we do indeed find both names are prominent in the peerage. There are over a thousand Talbotts and a hundred Thornhills. The Thornhills were Baronets, related to the **Warburtons**. The Talbotts were Dukes, Barons and Baronets going back many centuries, including a Lying Dick Talbot, who was the 1<sup>st</sup> Duke of Tyrconnell. They were related to the **Howards**

and **Saviles**. Unfortunately, not enough information is available on either Thornhill or Talbot to connect them to these lines. Connecting them personally to Velikovsky is a clue in this direction by itself though. They would have been about 32 when they met Velikovsky in 1974, and we aren't told why or how they were able to meet him. Three years earlier, at age 28, Talbot was editing the student magazine *Pensee* in Portland, OR, where he published ten special issues on Velikovsky. We are told it was a production of the Student Academic Freedom Forum, but I found no information on that online. It is curious we are told it was a student publication, but aren't told which school it was affiliated with. More research takes us to Wikipedia, where we are told it was affiliated with Lewis and Clark College. However, the details remain murky, since the magazine at Lewis and Clark was defunct. It was "revived as an unofficial student magazine" in 1970, which seems unnecessarily cryptic. Why wasn't it revived by students, then? Why was it revived by a 28-year-old? Also curious is that it is admitted Velikovsky "insinuated himself into the editing of this magazine". How does that work? We find that Ralph Juergens, Lewis **Greenberg**, and Alfred de Grazia were also in on this project from the beginning, being editors at *Pensee*. This is especially odd in the case of Juergens, who was 46 at the time and had already worked as an editor for mainstream publisher McGraw-Hill. What was he doing involved with this "student" publication?



Well, the big clue comes with de Grazia, who was 51 at the time, working on this "student" magazine. His brother [Sebastian](#) won the Pulitzer Prize in 1990 for his biography of Machiavelli. That is apt, since Sebastian had worked for the OSS, precursor to the CIA. So he was an admitted agent. Alfred's other brother Edward was a founding faculty member of the Benjamin Cardozo School of Law at Yeshiva University. I guess you see what that means? The de Grazias were also Jewish. [Alfred de Grazia](#) was also a top spook, being Commanding Officer of the Psychological Warfare Propaganda Team. I am not making that up. It is on his Wiki page. De Grazia actually wrote the CIA manuals on Psychological Warfare for the Korean War and Vietnam War. In 2013 he was awarded the Legion of Honor by France. In the 1950s, he was working at Stanford University under a Ford Foundation Grant. In 1959, he moved to New York University. So this is the guy who took up Velikovsky's cause in 1963 and who was working on the "student magazine" in Portland in 1971. This is where David Talbot got his start, working with the head of US Psychological Warfare.

I think we have answered the question in the title, don't you?

And de Grazia being Jewish reminds us we have already looked at a Schwartz and a Greenberg in this small group. Shermer was also born Jewish, although we are supposed to believe he converted to Christianity for a while. You may believe that, but I don't. Yes, his Wiki page states he converted to Christianity during his senior year in high school. To me that implies he was Jewish, unless you want to argue he was a Muslim or a Hindu. With a name like Shermer? And are Talbot and Thornhill also Jewish? I wouldn't be surprised.

Finding Psychological Operations involved here reminds me that—amazingly—Thunderbolts (EU in its current incarnation) happened to arrive on the scene at the same time I did. They arose in 2005, at the very time I was first publishing on tides—giving them to charge instead of gravity—and the orbital ellipse—also including charge there. Previously, I had published big papers on the calculus, orbital dynamics, and Relativity. I had already made a name for myself at Walter Babin's site, and had started my own website. In 2010 I published my first book and in 2011 my second. The Thunderbolts held their first conference the next year. Coincidence? Until now, I would have said so. Now, I no longer believe in coincidences.

But even without that, the 2015 fiasco with Michael Shermer is all the proof you should ever need that the Thunderbolts were created to fail. I knew as soon as I saw that video up on Youtube. The Thunderbolts were set up to lose: not to me but to the mainstream. They are there to soak up dissatisfaction with legacy physics and to misdirect it into useless channels and responses. They pull you into their alliances and then cut your feet out from under you. After *Scientific American* thoroughly eviscerates you and everything you stand for, it is hoped you will give up and slouch back to the mainstream. After the newspapers and magazines refuse to report on the proceedings of your 40<sup>th</sup> national conference in a row—since it hasn't achieved anything worth reporting—it is hoped you will slouch back to the comfort of academic physics, holding your balls in your hands.

Critics have claimed that my responses to the mainstream are too strong, turning off regular folks. But these critics are also planted. It isn't true. Compared to what the mainstream deserves, even my responses are far too tepid. And compared to me, the responses of the Thunderbolts look like cold oatmeal. This is no accident. That is what controlled opposition always looks like, since it was created specifically to stall the revolution. The mainstream doesn't want you reading and following me, since I am a genuine threat to their hegemony. So they underwrite alternative ideas like those of Arp or the Thunderbolts. They give you an alternative second path to travel, hoping you won't ever recognize there are always more than two paths. Yes, the Thunderbolts were created to draw attention away from me and any like me. Those who run the world saw me coming.

I doubt they saw me personally, but they knew someone like me would come along eventually. It has happened before and it will happen again, and they have schemes pre-manufactured to deal with it. They have cadres of agents specifically trained to deal with outbreaks of real science or any other truth, and since my arrival on the scene all the horns have blown and we have gone to DEFCON1. All the psychological units worldwide have suited up, painted on camouflage, and been helicoptered in. The Thunderbolts are just a small part of the worldwide response. You may think I am joking, but just search on my name online and witness the number of ridiculous and pathetic psyops being run against me, from the Thunderbolts forums to Cluesforums to Ex Falso to RatWiki to Blindlight to Weisbecker and on and on and on. Most people would wilt under all that, but I just laugh it off. I see it as a sign of my success, which it is.

To see how the mainstream is losing, and to prove I am doing what the Thunderbolts are only pretending to do, I send you [here](#), to remind you of what is really going on. This is what the mainstream doesn't want you to know. My science papers are not only outperforming anything the Thunderbolts have ever published, they are outperforming the mainstream university sites. On many topics, they are outperforming the encyclopedia sites themselves. Despite the fact that the numbers of many mainstream sites are padded using hidden links (see my outing of Facebook's fake numbers on my other site), on many topics my papers are outranking Wikipedia and the Encyclopedia Britannica, or ranking just below them. This is unprecedented, and is so astonishing it should be frontpage news.

But don't expect to read about it anywhere but here, since you won't.

So I have some bad news for Stephen Crothers. It looks like to me that Shermer's attacks on him were no accident. Crothers is the only real thing at the EU conferences, and I think he is being blackwashed and surrounded by nonsense on purpose. These people claiming to be his friends are really his enemies. I should know, since I have found myself in similar situations, and have had to face the awful truth. In his reply to Shermer, Crothers mentions that both Shermer and 't Hooft have used the same *ad hom* against him, accusing him of being “a self-taught” physicist (RatWiki also uses this slur against Crothers, calling him “a part-time amateur scientist”). Since Crothers [worked on his PhD](#) in physics, and has since done more real physics than all these people combined, this is provably false. Crothers joked that Shermer and 't Hooft must have some sort of telepathy, using exactly the same false slur against him. No, Stephen, it is actually deeper than that, and is no joke. All these people are using the same shallow slurs because *they are reading from the same scripts*. Also strange is that Shermer should use that slur against Crothers but not against Thornhill or Talbott—both of whom spent less time in academia than Crothers. Crothers should separate himself from these EU people as soon and as thoroughly as possible, since they will just drag him down. That is why they were created. They would love to drag me down as well, but that psyop isn't working. So far it has done nothing but backfire.

\*In the same time, I have published another 500 articles on my art/politics site, making around 1000 articles comprising some 20,000 pages of new research.