

# POLAR WANDERING CAUSED BY CLIMATE CHANGE?

*by Miles Mathis*

*First published April 26, 2021*

The junk science flooding the casual reader is now reaching tsunami levels. Today it is being reported worldwide in a saturation blitz that [the increased wandering of the Earth's poles is caused by climate change](#). It should be obvious even to a reader with no scientific background that this is just propaganda posing as science. Which accounts for about 98% of “science” now reported or pushed by the mainstream. We can be sure this blitz is being promoted by Soros/Gore and the other billionaires invested in climate change mitigation.

The mainstream knows the poles have always been wandering, since from way before climate change became a topic. They will say that this is because the climate was always changing, it just wasn't changing due to man-made reasons. But this is false. If this were the case, we would expect the poles to move more quickly after big volcanic eruptions, but there is no indication of that. And besides, if man-made change was so much worse than previous change, we should see the pole wandering more than it did before. We don't. It peaked in around 2005 and is now slowing a bit.

Next they will tell us that clouds moving across the sky is a sign of climate change (and an instance of White Supremacy, no doubt).

I already solved this one years ago, but as usual they have to ignore me, because if they allowed real scientists to analyze my answers and speak freely, they would find I am right, dooming a large percentage of their current propaganda.

[Back in 2009, I showed](#) that the tilt of the Earth is caused by a balancing of charge coming in from the Sun and the Jovians. The Solar System is a large charge engine, with the Sun collecting charge coming in from the Galactic Core on long lines, bringing that charge in on polar vortices, and then re-emitting it at the equator. That charge is then fed out to the planets on the Solar Wind. The mainstream admits that, in a way, but it doesn't understand either how heavy that charge wind is or how it enters the big field equations that determine the orbits and all other local celestial mechanics. Anyway, the charge doesn't just go out from the Sun, it also cycles back to the Sun, mainly from the four big planets. They take in this charge stream at their poles in the same way, recycling it back to the Sun on the same lines it came out. This means that planets between the Sun and Neptune can capture charge from both directions. The Earth captures charge from the Sun and from the Jovians. It captures charge from everything else as well, but these are the major local influences.

You will say that explains the tilt, but it doesn't explain the wandering poles. But it does, because of course the planets aren't stationary. They aren't in circular orbits, either. They are in ellipses, so the distances vary. Beyond that, their distances from the Earth vary even more. So, in short, we have to combine the mechanics from that tilt paper with the mechanics of my 2014 [Solar Cycle paper](#). There and [in later additions](#), I showed how to calculate these variations, using multi-body unified field


equations (which are basically [redefined and extended Lagrangians](#)). So the same thing is causing the Solar cycles that is causing the wandering poles here. Except that in the first problem, we have to track the charge channels back from the Jovians to the Sun, where here we track them back to the Earth.

What they haven't noticed yet is that the wandering poles follow the same cycles as the Solar cycles. But they haven't thought to compare one set of data to the other. In one you have data in a circle, and in the other you have data in a linear sine wave, but it is the same data.

Plus, it is known the pole wanders in a series of loops. If climate was the cause, why would it do that? Shouldn't mainstream scientists have a solid theory of what causes the pole to wander before they start suggesting climate affects it? But they don't. They can't tell you why it moves in loops, but I just did. They believe it is due to swirling in the Earth's iron core. But it moves in loops because it is an outcome of the orbiting Jovians upon the orbiting Earth. To see why the core theory must be wrong, you can go [here](#). There I show how the entire core theory fails.

But we still have the question: why would the pole wander over a straight line longterm, and why would this rate of wandering peak in about 2005? All I have really explained above is the nutation, they will say. I haven't explained the linear motion or its rate of change. True, but this is also simple once you understand that charge is the answer to all questions of this nature. So we just seek something that is moving in a line compared to our other inputs. That would be. . . the Galactic Core. The entire Solar System is moving through the galaxy, orbiting the core in a huge ellipse. I have shown you before that the Earth aligns not only to the Sun and Jovians, but also to the Core. Remember, the Core is also a major player in the Solar Cycles. For this reason, the Core moves slowly through the sky relative to Sun, and it moves in what looks like a straight line. Since the Earth receives some of its charge directly from the Core—in minor lines that miss the Solar vortices—the Earth will also align to the Core.

And the changing rate of wander? That too comes from variations in these cycles. It is known that the Sun itself is tilted relative to the Core, since the entire Solar system is tilted relative to the galactic plane. We already looked at that as well, in my 2011 paper on the [Charge Field causing the Ice Ages](#). There we saw how the nodes of the Sun travel relative to this greater configuration, giving us the precession of the Earth. But that is just the longest-term variance in this data. The libration of Jupiter causes a smaller variation, and I showed it is that variation that causes the 11,000 year cycles in the ice core. But extrapolating from the data on the wandering poles, it appears we have a much shorter variance, on the order of 300 years or so.



But of course Jupiter isn't the only planet to have a libration. The other three Jovians also have librations, and if we track the four Jovians against each other in this greater dance, they will cause shorter term variances in this data. I suggest that is what we are seeing in this pole data. If not that, then something very similar. I would need all the data laid out in front of me, and it is very difficult to find online.

Another possibility is that the tilt of the Sun has a nutation, caused by the line of charge from the Core changing over time. As the Sun orbits the Core, it lines up with different inner stars. As these alignments change, the charge line become stronger or weaker. When the alignment is more perfect or contains more inner stars, the charge lines become stronger, which leads to a quicker motion of the pole of the Earth. When the alignments are less perfect, the pole wanders less quickly.

We once again see why mainstream theories are now very poor with regard to such questions, and it is not only because science has been replaced by propaganda in support of treasury-draining schemes by the very rich. It is also because mainstream scientists usually don't know the basics of celestial mechanics anymore. They are all specializing in esoterica, so they have never studied simple relationships like this. They generally dismiss such studies as astrology, though there is nothing paranormal or non-scientific about knowing planetary and galactic relationships. It should be the first thing taught in astronomy—and long ago it was—but it isn't anymore. Mainstream astronomers are much more likely to know manufactured minutiae about the first three minutes of the universe or the interior of a black hole than they are to know anything about planetary relationships. And because they are totally ignorant of how charge inhabits the field equations—or even that it *does*—they never think to look at any of this when presented with mysteries of this nature. They will dodge into the core of the Earth, where we have zero data, before they will deign to look at planetary relationships.